S. HRG. 104-303

# **THE EMPLOYMENT SITUATION**

# HEARING

## before the

# JOINT ECONOMIC COMMITTEE CONGRESS OF THE UNITED STATES

## **ONE HUNDRED FOURTH CONGRESS**

FIRST SESSION

May 5, 1995

Printed for the use of the Joint Economic Committee



U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE WASHINGTON: 1995

21-618

For sale by the U.S. Government Printing Office Superintendent of Documents, Congressional Sales Office, Washington, DC 20402 ISBN 0-16-052263-3

## JOINT ECONOMIC COMMITTEE

[Created pursuant to Sec. 5(a) of Public Law 304, 79th Congress]

### SENATE

CONNIE MACK, Florida, Chairman WILLIAM V. ROTH, JR., Delaware LARRY E. CRAIG, Idaho ROBERT F. BENNETT, Utah RICK SANTORUM, Pennsylvania ROD GRAMS, Minnesota JEFF BINGAMAN, New Mexico PAUL S. SARBANES, Maryland EDWARD M. KENNEDY, Massachusetts CHARLES S. ROBB, Virginia

### HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

JIM SAXTON, New Jersey, Vice Chairman THOMAS W. EWING, Illinois JACK QUINN, New York DONALD A. MANZULLO, Illinois MARK SANFORD, South Carolina MAC THORNBERRY, Texas FORTNEY PETE STARK, California DAVID R. OBEY, Wisconsin LEE H. HAMILTON, Indiana KWEISI MFUME, Maryland

ROBERT N. MOTTICE, Executive Director BRIAN S. WESBURY, Chief Economist LEE PRICE, Minority Staff Director

### Prepared by DONALD C. EVANS III

(ii)

## **CONTENTS**

## **OPENING STATEMENT OF THE CHAIRMAN**

Sanatan Connie Mook Chairman	1
Senator Connie Mack, Chairman	 ł

### WITNESS

### SUBMISSIONS FOR THE RECORD

Prepared Statement of Senator Connie Mack
Prepared Statement of Commissioner Katharine G. Abraham together
with Press Release No. 95-152 entitled, "The Employment Situation:
April 1995," Bureau of Labor Statistics, Department of Labor, May 5,
1995
The chart entitled "Real Hourly Earnings, CPI-U-X1 Adjusted Dollars
(1993=100)"

## THE APRIL EMPLOYMENT SITUATION Friday, May 5, 1995

## CONGRESS OF THE UNITED STATES, JOINT ECONOMIC COMMITTEE, WASHINGTON, D.C.

The Committee met at 9:33 a.m. in Room 106, of the Dirksen Senate Office Building, the Honorable Connie Mack, Chairman of the Committee, presiding.

Present: Senator Mack.

Staff Present: Robert Mottice, Christopher Frenze, Shelly Hymes, Juanita Morgan, Missy Shorey, William Buechner and William Spriggs.

## OPENING STATEMENT OF SENATOR CONNIE MACK, CHAIRMAN

Senator Mack. Good morning. And, welcome to a pretty good size room.

Ms. Abraham. Pretty grand.

Senator Mack. Yes. I'm sure it's no indication of the significance of the report this morning.

(Laughter.)

Senator Mack. But, in any event, let me welcome you back. As I mentioned to you a moment ago, it seems like these months are going by faster and faster.

The employment data released this morning are disappointing. The unemployment rate increased to 5.8 percent, while the household measure of employment posted a decline of 202,000.

We will have to see the data in coming months before reaching any firm conclusions. But, the indications from today's release are not encouraging.

The shorter interval between survey weeks this last March and April, relative to the interval in the three previous years, has had some impact on the seasonal adjustment and employment data reported in the payroll survey. Consequently, as BLS has pointed out, we should use caution in reading too much into the April payroll data. However, there have been a number of other data releases in recent months that indicate that economic growth is slowing down. The household data released today are consistent with the view that an economic slowdown may be underway.

Unfortunately, another recent BLS release on median weekly earnings confirms the concern that even the stronger growth of 1994 did not lead to solid increases in the standards of living. According to this release, real median weekly earnings slipped a bit between the first quarters of 1994 and 1995.

This continues the pattern of sliding middle class earnings and income despite the recovery during 1993 and 1994.

We, in the Senate, are just about ready to take up a budget resolution that could dramatically improve both the economy and living standards for all Americans. The continued evidence that indicators of economic health are deteriorating points to the need, in my opinion, for tax relief.

In my view, the budget resolution must accommodate a tax cut if we are to effectively improve the lives of Americans.

And, so, at this point, Commissioner, I will go ahead and ask you to make your report.

[The prepared statement of Senator Mack appears in the Submissions for the Record.]

## STATEMENT OF

## THE HONORABLE KATHARINE G. ABRAHAM, COMMISSIONER, BUREAU OF LABOR STATISTICS

ACCOMPANIED BY THOMAS J. PLEWES, ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER, EMPLOYMENT AND UNEMPLOYMENT STATISTICS; AND KENNETH V. DALTON, ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER, PRICES AND LIVING CONDITIONS

Ms. Abraham. Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman. I, as always, appreciate the opportunity to be here to comment on this month's numbers. I particularly appreciate the opportunity this month, given that there are some potentially confusing factors that may have impacted this month's data.

Payroll employment, this month, was essentially unchanged, at 115.8 million. And, the unemployment rate, as you noted, rose three-tenths of a percentage point, to 5.8 percent.

Although our published estimate of seasonally-adjusted payroll employment was little changed over the month, there were some special circumstances that I think need to be taken into consideration to set this lack of growth into proper context. And, you've already --

Senator Mack. Pull that microphone just a little bit closer to you, if you would.

**Ms. Abraham.** You have already briefly alluded to the main issue that we've identified. The period between March and April is always a time of seasonal buildup in, at least, certain industries -- construction, components of services, retail trade.

Our seasonal adjustment process, of course, is designed to remove such seasonal growth and to allow us to discern the underlying trend in employment. It does so by examining past seasonal movements, trying to figure out what a normal seasonal movement would be and to pull that out from the data.

As we discussed here last month, the growth of employment in March was boosted by mild weather, which contributed to an earlier than normal seasonal buildup in construction and also in amusements and recreation. Some of the job gains recorded in March, therefore, likely reflected growth that otherwise would have been recorded in April.

In addition, our seasonal expectation for this April is much higher than it has been in recent years. One reason for that is that in each of the past three years, that is, in 1992, 1993 and 1994 there were five weeks between our March and April surveys – sort of a quirk of the calendar.

Those years are given the predominant weight in the construction of our current seasonal adjustment factors. This year, though, there were only four weeks between the March and April surveys and, thus, one less week of seasonal hiring. Partly as a result, the seasonal employment buildup expected for this April probably was too large.

A natural next question is how much too large. We have made some, I would have to say, very rough attempts to quantify the impact of the unusual calendar pattern on the seasonal expectation of the March to April employment change, although there is a large range of uncertainty connected with our estimates.

We tried three different approaches to get at this. The answers that we get out of those three different approaches range from 70,000 impact to 168,000 impact to possibly as much as a 234,000 impact.

I don't know whether you would like me to go through a little bit of description of those approaches or whether you want to come back to that.

Senator Mack. Well, no. Frankly, I think that, you could provide something for the record.

I'm not sure, at this point, that it's helpful to go through the different methods. But, I think it might be helpful if you just took a second to indicate what the numbers mean with respect to what total employment would have been, what the unemployment rate would have been, if those different numbers were used.

Ms. Abraham. Well, I can talk about what they would have implied about the change in employment between March and April. Translating

into what the impact on unemployment would have been is difficult, since there isn't necessarily in any month a one-to-one link to what's going on in the household survey.

What these calculations suggest -- taking them at face value -- is that instead of the minus 9,000 payroll employment figure that we reported for the change in employment between March and April, what we might have had instead would have been anywhere between a growth in payroll employment between March and April of 61,000 perhaps to as much as 225,000 perhaps.

It's not entirely clear where that would have been coming from, whether we would have, if we had been able to fix these seasonal adjustment problems, seen less growth in February and March with more of it showing up in April or whether it's, in some sense, likely to show up instead in May. Putting that another way, it's not entirely clear whether there is any issue concerning the level of employment that we are reporting for April.

But, in terms of the March to April growth, our back-of-the-envelope calculations suggest that instead of minus nine we might, as I said, have had somewhere between about 61,000 and about 225,000 at the very high end.

Senator Mack. I see.

Ms. Abraham. The statement that I've given you does go through what we did to come up with these estimates. Although it does seem clear that there was a calendar effect in April's data, given the diversity of the estimates, I can't make a precise statement as to the impact of that calendar effect. Even allowing for the possible impact of imperfect seasonal adjustment, however, the trend in payroll employment growth clearly is down from last year's rapid pace.

A noteworthy development in this regard is the emerging slowdown in manufacturing, which is an industry that is relatively immune from these seasonal adjustment issues that we have just been discussing.

Employment in the nation's factories had been on a steady upward trend since September of 1993, with growth picking up substantially between September of 1994 and February of this year. Over the last two months, however, factory employment has fallen by 39,000.

In addition ---

Senator Mack. Just again for clarification, what you are saying is that this little discussion we've had about the seasonal adjustment really is not a significant factor in manufacturing data?

Ms. Abraham. No, it's not.

Senator Mack. Okay.

Ms. Abraham. We get seasonal upswings in employment in certain industries, a big seasonal upswing in construction, amusements and recreation services, eating and drinking places. But, manufacturing is not an industry where we typically see a big seasonal buildup --

Senator Mack. Okay.

**Ms. Abraham.** -- between March and April. And, it's in that context that I note that over the past two months, manufacturing employment has fallen 39,000, cumulatively.

In addition, manufacturing weekly hours and overtime appear to be edging down from the extraordinarily high levels of recent months, although the decline for April may have been overstated somewhat due to imperfect adjustment for the Easter and Passover observances that occurred during the reference period.

Of the manufacturing industries that have been adding jobs recently, only industrial machinery continued to grow in April. Industries tied to homebuilding and buying, such as lumber and wood products and furniture and fixtures, experienced losses over the month.

Turning to the data from our survey of households, the unemployment rate increased to 5.8 percent. Although still well below the level at the beginning of 1994, which was 6.7 percent, the rate is now higher than the 5.4 percent figure attained in December and February.

Over the month, jobless rates edged up for all the major worker groups, excluding Hispanics. The increase in unemployment in April --

Senator Mack. Did you say including or excluding?

Ms. Abraham. Excluding Hispanics. The increase was concentrated among re-entrants to the labor force and those on temporary layoffs from jobs.

In summary, then, the special factors affecting the April data notwithstanding, our data suggests that payroll employment growth has slowed from the strong pace set last year. Manufacturing is showing signs of weakness. And, unemployment has increased from its recent lows.

[The prepared statement of Commissioner Abraham appears in the Submissions for the Record.]

Senator Mack. Okay. Again, I thank you for the report. And, I do have a series of questions that I will run through.

How would you evaluate the monthly payroll employment change in light of the survey week problem and the slowdown in the economy? Can you help us sort out what is a statistical artifact and what is real?

Frankly, you have probably covered most of that in our discussion so far.

5

w.,

And, we've talked about what the range of estimates on that is.

Senator Mack. And, again, for those who are maybe casual observers to this process, the seasonal impact is in the payroll survey.

Ms. Abraham. That's correct.

6

Senator Mack. It does not affect the household survey.

Ms. Abraham. In principle, the same set of issues apply to the household survey. But, in actuality, seasonal factors have much less impact on the household data as between March and April.

We really do not think that those numbers are much affected.

Senator Mack. Then, why don't we focus for a minute on the household survey? What does it tell us?

Does it provide a higher degree of confidence in your stating what you think is happening in the economy relative to job creation?

**Ms. Abraham.** Well, I think, taking all of the data together, regardless of what you think about the impact of the seasonal factors on the payroll numbers, it is clear that the rate of growth has slowed. And, then, taking the household survey data in conjunction with that, the increase in unemployment to 5.8 percent also is consistent with a slowing.

Senator Mack. I heard one of the radio news shows this morning. The expectations were somewhat high for the unemployment rate - I think the news was reporting an expected unemployment rate of 5.5 percent, employment growth at about 192,000.

I don't know exactly where that expectation came from. And, I guess, in a sense, I'm a little bit surprised that those who are consistent observers of this process were not aware of the statistical seasonal problems that were out there.

And, didn't you put information out earlier this week to indicate these concerns?

Ms. Abraham. We, in response to some questions that we had gotten, did put out some information describing this issue with the seasonal factors being based on periods when we had a five week interval between surveys. And, this year, it was only four weeks, though we didn't make any effort -- at that point, hadn't done the work to try to quantify in any way what that impact might be.

Some of the press accounts that I had seen suggested that, when it became clear to people that there was this issue, some forecasts were revised downward. Though I also would have to say I don't really know where these forecasts come from.

Senator Mack. Okay. Again, there have been, in the last three or four days, expectations of 5.5 and 192,000 or somewhere between 190,000 and 205,000.

Ms. Abraham. When I said revised downward, I was referring to the payroll employment forecasts.

Senator Mack. Again, you've touched on this next question but maybe there are some other aspects that you might want to add to it.

In what industries or sectors should the seasonal adjustment problem in the payroll survey be most evident?

Ms. Abraham. I can speak to that a bit more precisely, I guess. I think the industries where there is a potential issue are the industries where there ordinarily is a large seasonal swing in employment.

And, if you look at what those are, in construction the seasonal expectation was that employment -- the expected seasonal increase in employment between March and April was about 250,000. So, that's a big swing.

In --

Senator Mack. That was an expected increase of 250,000?

Ms. Abraham. Yes, an expected increase of 250,000.

Senator Mack. And, if I remember correctly, from what you said this morning and also last month, there was higher construction employment as a result of weather conditions. And, so --

Ms. Abraham. Correct.

Senator Mack. -- therefore, a lower number this month would --

Ms. Abraham. Would not be surprising.

Senator Mack. Right.

Ms. Abraham. So, taking both the good weather in March and its impact on the data into account, plus perhaps the fact that we only had four weeks between the surveys --

Senator Mack. And, what did the number turn out to be. I don't have it in front of me?

**Ms. Abraham.** The number was minus 20,000 for construction. Construction employment fell, seasonally-adjusted, by 20,000.

But, I think that taking these issues into account could explain that.

Senator Mack. But, I guess we want to be somewhat cautious that we don't underplay what might be happening out there, given what we know about other data with respect to the --

Ms. Abraham. No, that's right. You know, on the one hand, there are these seasonal adjustment issues. On the other hand, we do know that there has been some slowdown in housing starts.

It makes it difficult to sort out.

Senator Mack. And, what other industries now?

Ms. Abraham. Other industries with big seasonal swings are retail trade, a lot of that coming in eating and drinking places. Eating and drinking places seasonal increase in employment is 174,000, so another big swing.

And, then, services, as well, we expected a seasonal increase in employment of just over 260,000. Some substantial share of that is in amusement and recreation services. About 80,000 of that is in amusement and recreation services.

So, those are all industries where we ordinarily see increases at this time of year.

Senator Mack. What about the defense industries? We are looking for the effects, in essence, of the cuts in defense expenditures and what's happening to employment in that area.

Ms. Abraham. We do produce a series on employment in defenserelated industries, which I must admit that I had not looked at this month, though I believe we've got the figures here.

**Mr. Plewes.** The defense industries, this month, continue to edge down but only by about – they are off only by about 3,000. That's a significantly smaller decline than we were seeing about this time last year.

So, I guess, the statement is appropriate to say that industries which are heavily defense are still losing jobs but at a less rate than they were about this time last year.

**Senator Mack.** And, again, when we talk about the defense-related industries, would we think about them in the same way as the manufacturing industries, in the sense that we don't have to be too concerned about these seasonal --

Mr. Plewes. That's correct. We are talking about industries in ordinance and accessories, aircraft, shipbuilding, guided missiles, tanks, search and navigation equipment, explosives and radio and TV equipment primarily, the major defense contractors.

Senator Mack. Okay. You suggested that the drop in employment shown in the household survey data was due to re-entrants to the labor force and those on temporary layoffs from jobs.

Would you clarify that?

Ms. Abraham. Well, in terms of the increase in unemployment, we can break those numbers out in different ways. One way that we can break them out is with reference to the reason for unemployment.

You could be unemployed because you were looking for your first job. You could be unemployed, having worked at some time in the past and coming back in. You could be unemployed because you have been put on temporary layoff by your employer or some other reasons. And, all that was really saying was that the categories where we saw the increase were among the so-called re-entrants and among people who were on temporary layoff.

Senator Mack. So, this actually is from the statistical data? I mean, this is --

Ms. Abraham. Yes, yes. Those are categories in the data.

Senator Mack. Okay.

Ms. Abraham. I don't know that we have a lot more to make of it than just -- this is descriptive, not a major analytic point.

Senator Mack. Okay. In other words, as you look through this data, you try to analyze in your own ways and your own mind what could be driving some of these numbers?

And, these are the points that you've made?

Ms. Abraham. Yes.

Senator Mack. Okay. We have previously discussed the BLS data on real median weekly earnings.

Recently, BLS released data for the first quarters of 1994 and 1995. Did median weekly earnings rise, fall or stagnate the first quarters of 1994 and 1995?

Ms. Abraham. Well, between the fourth quarter of 1994 and the first quarter of 1995, in nominal terms, they went up from \$472 to \$478. I'm always a little leery about making that kind of quarter-to-quarter comparison, because these data are not seasonally-adjusted.

So, if I could, maybe we could go back and take a look at the first quarter of 1994 as compared to the first quarter of 1995. And, the picture is similar.

In nominal terms, median weekly earnings rose from \$469 in the first quarter of 1994 to \$478 in the first quarter of 1995. That was an increase of 1.9 percent in nominal terms.

Over this period, inflation was -- the increase in the CPI was about three percent. So, in real terms, you are talking about a decline of roughly one percent.

**Senator Mack.** That's a continuation of a trend that has been in existence for some time now, is that right?

Ms. Abraham. Well, it certainly is the case that looking over a long period of time that -- you could look at a variety of different measures of this. Real wages have been relatively stagnant or, in some measures, declining.

I have a chart that I could give you that sort of shows some of these --

Senator Mack. I love charts. Charts have become the way of the Congress, it seems like, ever since a fellow by the name of Ross Perot went on --

Ms. Abraham. And, I didn't bring a nice, big, blown-up version of this, because I wasn't really anticipating we would want it. But, this is slightly different, in that what you just asked about was median weekly earnings.

These are some calculations that I and two other researchers at BLS have done of hourly earnings. And, as you can see, what they show is that these are following a long period of fairly steady growth in real hourly earnings from about 1960 through the early 1970s.

That growth in real hourly earnings either slowed -- if you look at the National Income and Product Accounts data, you get some continuing growth but at a slower pace. Stagnated, you saw little change in measures based on the Current Population Survey data; or, even declined, looking at the data for production and non-supervisory workers from our Employer Survey.

[The chart entitled "Real Hourly Earnings, CPI-U-X1 Adjusted Dollars (1993=100)" appears in the Submissions for the Record.]

**Senator Mack.** It's too bad we don't have something so that folks could see it. But, why don't you try to tell me what the difference is between this top line and this bottom line? Why are those as different as they are?

Ms. Abraham. Well, that's sort of what we are working on and trying to understand. The data come from different sources.

The top line is from the National Income and Product Accounts data. There are some differences in the way that hours are reported. And, this is a measure of earnings divided by hours.

It is interesting, if you look at employer reported hours and the hours that people say they are working, employer reported hours have declined relative to what -- when you talk to people -- they say they are working. And, that's part of the difference here.

The National Income and Product Accounts data, the hours measure is an employer-reported measure. And, it has gone down. And, so average hourly earnings are going up.

I don't know which measure we should believe.

**Senator Mack.** This is a whole area that I didn't really intend to get into. But, what's your instinct as to which we should believe?

Ms. Abraham. There are arguments you can make both ways. I think that there is, you know, on the one hand, some indication that particularly people who work long hours may tend to over-report their hours. They --

Senator Mack. I've noticed that in my experience, as well.

(Laughter.)

Ms. Abraham. One can speculate about what is going on. They come in in the morning at eight and they go home at eight. And, you ask them how long they worked and they might say 12 hours, but they neglect to recall the fact that they went out and did errands for two hours in the afternoon. That kind of thing may be going on.

On the other hand, the employer-reported numbers are just numbers on how many hours they were paying people for. And, if people are putting in unpaid overtime, that won't show up.

Senator Mack. Well, I think we will go on. I may pursue this at some other point.

Ms. Abraham. Okay.

**Senator Mack.** With the release of the data this morning, how well have the household and payroll surveys tracked each other over the last six months?

Ms. Abraham. Over the last six months, the chart here that sort of shows -- maybe I can borrow your copy of this, Tom -- what they are doing.

In over about the last six months, the payroll survey has shown somewhat more growth than the household survey. As you know, they do fluctuate relative to one another.

I'm trying to look at the chart. The differences over the last, little more than six months the payroll survey has risen by about 500,000, relative to the household survey.

Over the year, the payroll survey has risen by about, again, maybe 550,000, relative to the household survey. So, we have seen more growth in the payroll survey than in the household survey.

Senator Mack. Okay.

**Mr. Plewes.** In part, that may be affected by the fact that the payroll survey measures the number of jobs and the household survey the number of employees. And, to the extent that there is an increase in multiple job holding, which sometimes happens during recovery periods, part of that larger number for the payroll survey may be explained by that.

Senator Mack. Again, is that based on statistical data or is that anecdotal?

**Mr. Plewes.** We have a separate enumeration of the persons who hold multiple jobs. That is collected in our household survey on a monthly basis.

And, that has been going up. Unfortunately, that number is a brand new number and we haven't fully analyzed that. But, it has been going up.

Ms. Abraham. Just taking the number at face value --

Senator Mack. Brand new? And, how long have you been --

Mr. Plewes. We've had that time series since January 1994 only.

Senator Mack. Okay.

**Ms. Abraham.** So, we can look to a year ago. The proportion of the employed persons holding multiple jobs was 6.2 percent this month, April 1995, versus 6.0 percent a year earlier.

So, as Tom said, there was some increase.

Senator Mack. And, what is the margin of error in this survey? It sounds to me like it's not statistically much of a change.

Ms. Abraham. The change in the proportion of employed persons holding multiple jobs between April 1994 (at 6.0 percent) and April 1995 (at 6.2 percent) was not quite statistically significant; an over-the-year change of 0.23 percent would have been statistically significant. None-theless, the available data over the longer term indicate that the proportion of workers holding more than one job has been on a gradual uptrend.

**Senator Mack.** I don't know, frankly, that there is too much more that I want to pursue this morning. I think that you have stated several times that the data would indicate -- even though we have these questions about it -- that there is a slowdown in the rate of growth in employment.

And, I think that just confirms the other data that we have with respect to what is happening in the economy. And, I think we are just going to have to wait for several more reports before we get some real indicator as to whether there is going to be an increase in the level of unemployment in the country or whether we, in essence, have hit a kind of bottoming out and that for the next several months there might be a plateau that we will see with respect to unemployment data.

So, again, I appreciate the report this morning. And, I appreciate the information that you've given us. We will look forward to seeing you again in just a few weeks.

Ms. Abraham. Thank you, Senator.

Senator Mack. Thank you.

[Whereupon, at 10:05 a.m., the hearing was adjourned.]

## PREPARED STATEMENT OF SENATOR CONNIE MACK, CHAIRMAN

It is a pleasure to welcome Commissioner Abraham before the Committee once again.

The employment data released this morning are disappointing. The unemployment rate increased to 5.8 percent, while the household measure of employment posted a decline of 202,000. We will have to see the data in coming months before reaching any firm conclusions, but the indicators from today's release are not encouraging.

The shorter interval between survey weeks this last March and April, relative to the interval in the three previous years, has had some impact on the seasonal adjustment and employment data reported in the payroll survey. Consequently, as BLS has pointed out, we should use caution in reading too much into the April payroll data.

However, there have been a number of other data releases in recent months that indicate that economic growth is slowing down. The household data released today are consistent with the view that an economic slowdown may be underway.

Unfortunately, another recent BLS release on media weekly earnings confirms the concern that even the stronger growth of 1994 did not lead to solid increases in the standards of living. According to this release, real median weekly earnings slipped a bit between the first quarters of 1994 and 1995. This continues the pattern of sliding middle class earnings and income despite the recovery during 1993 and 1994.

We in the Senate are just about ready to take up a budget resolution that could dramatically improve both the economy and living standards for all Americans. The continued evidence that these indicators of economic health are deteriorating points to the need for tax relief. In my view, the budget resolution must accommodate a tax cut if we are to effectively improve the lives of Americans.

## **PREPARED STATEMENT OF KATHARINE G. ABRAHAM**

Mr. Chairman and Members of the Committee:

I appreciate this opportunity to comment on the labor market data released this morning.

Payroll employment was essentially unchanged in April, at 115.8 million, and the unemployment rate rose three-tenths of a percentage point to 5.8 percent.

Although our published estimate of seasonally adjusted payroll employment was little changed over the month, there were some special circumstances that need to be considered to set this lack of growth into proper context. The period between our March and April surveys is always a time of employment buildup in certain seasonal industries, such as construction, services, and retail trade. Our seasonal adjustment process is designed to remove such seasonal growth and allow us to discern the underlying trend in employment. It does so by examining past seasonal movements.

As we discussed here last month, the growth of employment in March was boosted by mild weather, which contributed to an earlier than normal seasonal buildup both in construction and in amusements and recreation. Some of the job gains recorded in March, therefore, likely reflected growth that otherwise would have been recorded in April. In addition, our seasonal expectation for this April is much higher than it has been in recent years. One reason is that in each of the past 3 years, that is, 1992-94, there were 5 weeks between our March and April surveys, and those years were given the predominant weight in the construction of our current seasonal adjustment factors. This year, there were only 4 weeks between the March and April surveys and, thus, one less week of seasonal hiring. Partly as a result, the seasonal employment buildup expected for this April probably was too large.

We have made some very rough attempts to quantify the impact of the unusual calendar pattern on the seasonal expectation of March to April employment change, although, as will become apparent, there is a large range of uncertainty connected with our estimates. One approach was to examine our historical data to assess employment growth from March to April, differentiating between 4- and 5-week survey intervals. Using several techniques, we found that we might expect about 70,000 less growth in years with 4 weeks between surveys than in years with 5-week intervals.

4

Another approach was to assume that the 841,000 seasonal growth expected for April would have occurred evenly over a 5-week survey period. This would suggest that a one-week shortfall might have depressed our seasonally adjusted employment estimate by about 168,000 jobs.

Still another approach was to apply the seasonal factors for 1992 to the 1995 data. The year 1992 was the last time that the seasonal factors were based predominantly on March and April surveys separated by 4 weeks. Applying the 1992 factors to the 1995 data yields an expected seasonal increase in employment of 607,000 -- 234,000 less than the expectation implied by the actual 1995 factors. Using the old seasonal factors, then, we have a high-side estimated shortfall of 234,000 in the seasonally adjusted March-April employment changes we are reporting.

Although it does seem clear that there was a "calendar effect" in April's data, given the diversity of the estimates I have just described, I cannot make a precise statement concerning its magnitude. Even allowing for the possible impact of imperfect seasonal adjustment, however, the trend in payroll employment growth clearly is down from last year's rapid pace.

A noteworthy development in this regard is the emerging slowdown in manufacturing (an industry relatively immune from the seasonal issues we have been discussing). Employment in the nation's factories had been on a steady upward trend since the fall of 1993, with growth picking up substantially between September of last year and this February. Over the last 2 months, however, factory employment has fallen by 39,000. In addition, manufacturing weekly hours and overtime appear to be edging down from the extraordinarily high levels of recent months, although the decline for April may have been overstated due to imperfect adjustment for the Easter and Passover observances that occurred during the reference period. Of the manufacturing industries that have been adding jobs recently, only industrial machinery continued to grow in April. Industries tied to home building and buying, such as lumber and wood products and furniture and fixtures, experienced losses over the month.

Turning to the data from our survey of households, the unemployment rate increased to 5.8 percent. Although still well below the level at the beginning of 1994 -- 6.7 percent -- the rate is now higher than the 5.4 percent figure attained in December and February. Over the month, jobless rates edged up for all the major worker groups, except Hispanics. The increase in unemployment in April was concentrated among re-entrants to the labor force and those on temporary layoffs from jobs.

In summary, the special factors affecting the April figures notwithstanding, our data suggest that payroll employment growth has slowed from the strong pace set last year. Manufacturing is showing signs of weakness, and unemployment has increased from its recent lows.

My colleagues and I now would be glad to answer any questions you might have.





USDL 95-152

Technical information: Household data: National

State Establishment data: Media contact:

(202) 606-6378 606-6373 606-6392 606-6555 606-5902

Transmission of material in this release is embargoed until 8:30 A.M. (EDT), Friday, May 5, 1995.

### THE EMPLOYMENT SITUATION: APRIL 1995

Unemployment increased in April and nonfarm payroll employment was unchanged, the Bureau of Labor Statistics of the U.S. Department of Labor reported today. The nation's jobless rate rose by 0.3 percentage point to 5.8 percent in April.

The number of payroll jobs, as measured by the survey of employers, remained at 115.8 million in April. Total employment, as measured by the household survey, was little changed at 125.1 million.



Unemployment (Household Survey Data)

The number of unemployed persons increased by 428,000 in April to 7.7 million, while the unemployment rate rose by 0.3 percentage point to 5.8 percent. With the exception of Hispanics, whose jobless rate (8.8 percent) was about the same as in March, rates rose for all other major worker groups, including adult men (4.9 percent), adult women (5.2 percent), teenagers (17.5 percent), whites (5.0 percent), and blacks (10.7 percent). (See tables A-1 and A-2.)

The rise in unemployment reflected an increase in both the number of unemployed persons who were reentrants to the labor force and those who were on temporary layoff. In terms of the length of unemployment, most of the increase occurred among persons jobless for 15 weeks and over. (See tables A-5 and A-6.)

# Table A. Major indicators of labor market activity, seasonally adjusted (Numbers in thousands)

	Quarterly	averages		Monthly dat	a	Mar			
Category	1994	1995		1995		Apr.			
	IV	I	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	change			
HOUSEHOLD DATA			Labor fo	rce status					
Civilian labor force	131,696	132,318	132,308	132,511	132,737	226			
Employment	124,371	125,012	125,125	125,274	125,072	-202			
Unemployment	7,325	7,306	7,183	7,237	7,665	428			
Not in labor force	65,904	65,564	65,578	65,496	65,412	-84			
			Unemploy	ment rates					
All workers	5.6	5.5	5.4	5.5	5.8	0.3			
Adult men	4.9	4.8	4.6	4.7	4.9	.2			
Adult women	4.9	4.9	4.8	4.9	5.2	.3			
Teenagers	16.7	16.8	17.6	16.1	17.5	1.4			
White	4.9	4.8	4.7	4.7	5.0	.3			
Black	10.4	10.0	10.1	9,8	10.7	.9			
Hispanic origin	9.1	9.4	8.9	9.1	8.8	3			
ESTABLISHMENT DATA	Employment								
Nonfarm employment	114,781	p115,578	115,637	p115,814	p115,805	p-9			
Goods-producing 1	23,805	p23,968	23,945	p24,002	p23,951	p-51			
Construction	5,023	p5,095	5,062	p5,130	p5,110	p-20			
Manufacturing	18,184	p18,281	18,291	p18,280	p18,252	p-28			
Service-producing '	90,976	p91,609	91,692	p91,812	p91,854	p42			
Retail trade	20,643	p20,811	20,843	p20,811	p20,824	p13			
Services	32,384	p32,752	32,786	p32,906	p32,912	p6			
Government	19,154	p19,152	19,164	p19,164	p19,165	- pì			
			Hours o	f work <sup>2</sup>					
Total private	34.7	p34.6	34.5	p34.5	p34.6	p0.1			
Manufacturing	42.1	p42.1	42.1	p41.9	p41.3	р6			
Overtime	4.8	p4.8	4.9	p4.7	p4.3	р4			
	Earnings <sup>2</sup>								
Average hourly earnings,			1		1				
total private	\$11.24	p\$11.31	\$11.31	p\$11.32	p\$11.39	p\$0.07			
Average weekly earnings,		-							
total private	390.15	p391.44	390.20	p390.54	p394.09	p3.55			

<sup>1</sup> Includes other industries, not shown separately.

.

<sup>2</sup> Data relate to private production or nonsupervisory workers.

p = preliminary.

2

#### 3

#### Total Employment and the Labor Force (Household Survey Data)

At 125.1 million, total employment was little changed over the month (after seasonal adjustment). The employment-population ratio—the proportion of the working-age population with jobs—was 63.1 percent. (See table A-1.)

A total of 7.7 million workers (not seasonally adjusted), or 6.2 percent of all employed persons, held two or more jobs in April. A year earlier, 6.0 percent of the employed held more than one job. (See table A-8.)

At 132.7 million, the civilian labor force was little changed in April. The labor force participation rate, at 67.0 percent, was also about the same as in March. (See table A-1.)

#### Persons Not in the Labor Force (Household Survey Data)

The number of persons with a marginal attachment to the labor force—those who wanted and were available for work, but were no longer actively looking for jobs after having searched sometime in the past 12 months—was 1.4 million (not seasonally adjusted) in April. Of that total, those who were not looking because they believed that there were no jobs available for them—discouraged workers—numbered 385,000, somewhat below the level of a year earlier. (See table A-8.)

#### Industry Payroll Employment (Establishment Survey Data)

Nonfarm payroll employment in April remained at the March level of 115.8 million, after seasonal adjustment. Job growth has slowed considerably thus far in 1995, with monthly gains averaging 173,000, compared with 292,000 during all of 1994. In April, nearly all industries experienced no job growth or small declines. (See table B-1.)

The lack of job growth between March and April may have reflected an unusual set of circumstances in several highly seasonal industries. Mild weather and the fact that the survey period (the week of the 12th) was the latest possible added to the March job growth in construction and in amusements and recreation within the services industry. Thus, some of the job growth recorded in March reflected growth that otherwise would have been recorded in April. In addition, the seasonal buildup in services, retail trade, and construction from March to April had been relatively large in the previous 3 years (1992-94), partly because in each case there were 5 weeks between the two collections. As a result, this year's seasonal "expectation" (which is based primarily on the prior 3 years) was relatively large. With only 4 weeks separating the surveys, however, the time period for which hiring could take place was reduced. All of this likely made employment in April appear weaker than it actually was.

Other industries generally are less affected by April seasonal trends. Manufacturing employment slipped for the second straight month. Declines occurred in the lumber and furniture industries, reflecting the slowdown in homebuilding, and in apparel and printing and publishing. Only industrial machinery continued to show large employment gains. Employment in wholesale trade continued its pattern of growth, although the pace has slowed from earlier in the year. There were also modest employment gains in transportation and public utilities, while employment in finance, insurance, and real estate was flat. Mining continued its long-term pattern of job decline. The Federal government continued to downsize, with employment falling by 14,000 in April and 174,000 since it began to decline 3 years earlier.

#### Weekly Hours (Establishment Survey Data)

The average workweek for production or nonsupervisory workers on private nonfarm payrolls edged up to 34.6 hours (seasonally adjusted). The manufacturing workweek was down by 0.6 hour to 41.3 hours. Factory overtime hours also dropped, by 0.4 hour to 4.3 hours. The size of these declines in factory hours may in part reflect the inability of seasonal adjustment to fully account for workers who were off during the reference week for Easter or Passover observances. (See table B-2.)

The index of aggregate weekly hours of private production or nonsupervisory workers on nonfarm payrolls was little changed at 131,8 (1982=100) in April. In contrast, the manufacturing index plummeted 1.6 percent to 105.2 as a result of the decline in both employment and weekly hours. (See table B-5.)

### Hourly and Weekly Earnings (Establishment Survey Data)

Average hourly earnings of private production or nonsupervisory workers climbed 7 cents in April to \$11.39 (seasonally adjusted). Average weekly earnings rose 0.9 percent to \$394.09. Over the past year, average hourly earnings increased by 3.1 percent and average weekly earnings rose by 2.8 percent. (See table B-3.)

#### Revisions in the Establishment Survey Data

The Employment Situation news release of May data will introduce revisions in the establishment-based series on nonfarm payroll employment, hours, and earnings to reflect the regular annual benchmark adjustments for March 1994 and updated seasonal adjustment factors. Unadjusted data from April 1993 and seasonally adjusted data from January 1990 forward are subject to revision.

The Employment Situation for May 1995 will be released on Friday, June 2, at 8:30 A.M. (EDT).

4

## **Explanatory Note**

This news release presents statistics from two major surveys, the Current Population Survey (household survey) and the Current Employment Statistics survey (establishment survey). The household survey provides the information on the labor force, employment, and unemployment that appears in the A tables, marked HOUSEHOLD DATA. It is a sample survey of about 60.000 households conducted by the Bureau of the Census for the Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS).

The establishment survey provides the information on the employment, hours, and earnings of workers on nonfarm payrolls that appears in the B tables, marked ESTABLISHMENT DATA. This information is collected from payroll records by BLS in cooperation with State agencies. In March 1993, the sample included over 390,000 establishments employing over 47 million people.

For both surveys, the data for a given month relate to a particular week or pay period. In the household survey, the reference week is generally the calendar week that contains the 12th day of the month. In the establishment survey, the reference period is the pay period including the 12th, which may or may not correspond directly to the calendar week.

#### Coverage, definitions, and differences between surveys

Household survey. The sample is selected to reflect the entire civilian noninstitutional population. Based on responses to a series of questions on work and job search activities, each person 16 years and over in a sample household is classified as employed, unemployed, or not in the labor force.

People are classified as *employed* if they did any work at all as paid employees during the reference week; worked in their own business, profession, or on their own farm; or worked without pay at least 15 hours in a family business or farm. People are also counted as employed if they were temporarily absent from their jobs because of illness, bad weather, vacation, labor-management disputes, or personal reasons.

People are classified as unemployed if they meet all of the following criteria: They had no employment during the reference week; they were available for work at that time; and they made specific efforts to find employment sometime during the 4-week period ending with the reference week. Persons laid off from a job and expecting recall need not be looking for work to be counted as unemployed. The unemployment data derived from the household survey in no way depend upon the eligibility for or receipt of unemployment insurance benefits.

The civilian labor force is the sum of employed and unemployed persons. Those not classified as employed or unemployed are not in the labor force. The unemployment rate is the number unemployed as a percent of the labor force. The labor force participation rate is the labor force as a percent of the population, and the employmentpopulation ratio is the employed as a percent of the population.

Establishment survey. The sample establishments are drawn from private nonfarm businesses such as factories, offices, and stores, as well as Federal, State, and local government entities. *Employees on*  nonfarm payrolls are those who received pay for any part of the reference pay period, including persons on paid leave. Persons are counted in each job they hold. Hours and earnings data are for private businesses and relate only to production workers in the goodsproducing sector and nonsupervisory workers in the service-producing sector.

Differences in employment estimates. The numerous conceptual and methodological differences between the household and establishment surveys result in important distinctions in the employment estimates derived from the surveys. Among these are:

 The household survey includes agricultural workers, the self-employed, unpaid family workers, and private household workers among the employed.
 These groups are excluded from the establishment survey.

 The household survey includes people on unpaid leave among the employed. The establishment survey does not.

The household survey is limited to workers 16 years of age and older.
 The establishment survey is not limited by age.

 The household survey has no duplication of individuals, because individuals are counted only once, even if they hold more than one job. In the establishment survey, employees working at more than one job and thus appearing on more than one payroll would be counted separately for each appearance.

Other differences between the two surveys are described in "Comparing Employment Estimates from Household and Payroll Surveys," which may be obtained from BLS upon request.

#### Seasonal adjustment

Over the course of a year, the size of the nation's labor force and the levels of employment and unemployment undergo sharp fluctuations due to such seasonal events as changes in weather, reduced or expanded production, harvests, major holidays, and the opening and closing of schools. The effect of such seasonal variation can be very large; seasonal fluctuations may account for as much as 95 percent of the month-tomonth changes in unemployment.

Because these seasonal events follow a more or less regular pattern each year, their influence on statistical trends can be eliminated by adjusting the statistics from month to month. These adjustisments make nonseasonal developments, such as declines in economic activity or increases in the participation of women in the labor force, easier to spot. For example, the large number of youth entering the labor force each June is likely to obscure any other changes that have taken place relative to May, making it difficult to determine if the level of economic activity has risen or declined. However, because the effect of students finishing school in previous years is known, the statistics for the current year can be adjusted to allow for a comparable change. Insofar as the seasonal adjustment is made correctly, the adjusted figure provides a more useful tool with which to analyze changes in economic activity.

In both the household and establishment surveys, most seasonally adjusted series are independently adjusted. However, the adjusted series for many major estimates, such as total payroll employment, employment in most major industry divisions, total employment, and unemployment are computed by aggregating independently adjusted The numerical factors used to make the seasonal adjustments are recalculated twice a year. For the household survey, the factors are calculated for the January-June period and again for the July-December period. For the establishment survey, updated factors for seasonal adjustment are calculated for the May-October period and introduced along with new benchmarks, and again for the November-April period. In both surveys, revisions to historical data are made once a year.

#### **Reliability of the estimates**

Statistics based on the household and establishment surveys are subject to both sampling and nonsampling error. When a sample rather than the entire population is surveyed, there is a chance that the sample estimates may differ from the "true" population values they represent. The exact difference, or *sampling error*, varies depending on the particular sample selected, and this variability is measured by the standard error of the estimate. There is about a 90-percent chance, or level of confidence, that an estimate based on a sample will differ by no more than 1.6 standard errors from the "true" population value because of sampling error. BLS analyses are generally conducted at the 90-percent level of confidence.

For example, the confidence interval for the monthly change in total employment from the household survey is on the order of plus or minus 359,000. Suppose the estimate of total employment increases by 100,000 from one month to the next. The 90-percent confidence interval on the monthly change would range from -259,000 to 459,000 (100,000 +/- 359,000). These figures do not mean that the sample results are off by these magnitudes, but rather that there is about a 90percent chance that the "true" over-the-month change lies within this interval. Since this range includes values of less than zero, we could not say with confidence that employment had, in fact, increased. If, however, the reported employment rise was half a million, then all of the values within the 90-percent confidence interval would be greater than zero. In this case, it is likely (at least a 90-percent chance) that an employment rise had, in fact, occurred. The 90-percent confidence interval for the monthly change in unemployment is +/- 256,000, and for the monthly change in the unemployment rate it is +/- .22 percentage point.

In general, estimates involving many individuals or establishments have lower standard errors (relative to the size of the estimate) than estimates which are based on a small number of observations. The precision of estimates is also improved when the data are cumulated over time such as for quarterly and annual averages. The seasonal adjustment process can also improve the stability of the monthly estimates. The household and establishment surveys are also affected by nonsampling error. Nonsampling errors can occur for many reasons, including the failure to sample a segment of the population, inability to obtain information for all respondents in the sample, inability or unwillingness of respondents to provide correct information on a timely basis, mistakes made by respondents, and errors made in the collection or processing of the data.

For example, in the establishment survey, estimates for the most recent 2 months are based on substantially incomplete returns; for this reason, these estimates are labeled preliminary in the tables. It is only after two successive revisions to a monthly estimate, when nearly all sample reports have been received, that the estimate is considered final.

Another major source of nonsampling error in the establishment survey is the inability to capure, on a timely basis, employment generated by new firms. To correct for this systematic underestimation of employment growth (and other sources of error), a process known as bias adjustment is included in the survey's estimating procedures, whereby a specified number of jobs is added to the monthly samplebased change. The size of the monthly bias adjustment is based largely on past relationships between the sample-based estimates of employment and the total counts of employment described below.

The sample-based estimates from the establishment survey are adjusted once a year (on a lagged basis) to universe counts of payroll employment obtained from administrative records of the unemployment insurance program. The difference between the March sample-based employment estimates and the March universe counts is known as a benchmark revision, and serves as a rough proxy for total survey error. The new benchmarks also incorporate changes in the classification of industries. Over the past decade, the benchmark revision for total nonfarm employment has averaged 0.2 percent, ranging from zero to 0.6 percent.

#### Additional statistics and other information

More comprehensive statistics are contained in *Employment and Earnings*, published each month by BLS. It is available for \$14.00 per issue or \$29.00 per year from the U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 20402. All orders must be prepaid by sending a check or money order payable to the Superintendent of Documents, or by charging to Mastercard or Visa.

Employment and Earnings also provides measures of sampling error for the household survey data published in this release. For unemployment and other labor force categories, these measures appear in tables 1-B through 1-H of its "Explanatory Notes." Measures of the reliability of the data drawn from the establishment survey and the actual amounts of revision due to benchmark adjustments are provided in tables 2-B through 2-G of that publication.

Information in this release will be made available to sensory impaired individuals upon request. Voice phone: 202-606-STAT; TDD phone: 202-606-5897; TDD message referral phone: 1-800-326-2577.

Table A-1. Employment status of the civilian population by sex and age (Numbers in thousands)

Employment status, sex, and eno	Not ea	ssonstly (	idjusted			Seasonat	y adjustod	<b>5</b> 1			
	Acr. 1004	Mar. 1035	Ac7. 1035	Apr. 1834	Dos. 1924	157. 1675	Fcb.	Mc37. 1925	Apr		
TOTAL									<u> </u>		
Civitian noninstitutional constitution	194 343	183.007	103 148	100 303	107 704						
Civician labor torce	129,652	131,423	131,656	130,787	131,725	132,136	132,309	132.511	132.7		
Pontecpation rate	C8.0	65.4	C8.4	66.6	68.0	C8.8	68.9	65.9	67		
Employee	121,004	123,943	124,276	122,402	124,570	124,633	125,125	125,274	125.0		
Agriculture	3.347	3,366	3,495	3439	1512	3.0	63.2	63.3			
Noncortoucural industrios	118,257	120,577	120,784	118,964	121,033	121,084	121.463	121.578	121.6		
Unomptoyod	8,078	7,480	7,378	6,385	7,155	7,433	7,183	7,237	7,6		
Not in later later	6.2	5.7	5.6	6.4	5.4	5.7	5.4	5.5			
	60,001	60,304	60,482	65,576	68,040	65,617	65,578	65,498	65,4		
Mon, 16 years and over		1									
SMCion noninstitutional population	94,118	94,079	04,952	94,118	94,651	94,749	94,818	94,879	94.9		
Bestivitation colo	70.026	70,081	71,054	70,625	71,370	71,478	71,558	71,673	11,0		
Engloyad	65.622	66 759	67 014	75.0	15.3	75.4	75.5	75.5			
Employment-population ratio	69.6	70.4	70.6	70.2	71.1	71.1	71.4	71.4	6/,5		
Unemployed	4,535	4,204	4,035	4,567	3,698	4,000	3,849	3,662	40		
Uncreptoyment rate	6.5	5.9	5.7	6.5	5.5	5.7	5.4	5.4			
Men, 20 years and over											
William monthstitutional population	C0.946	07,622	07.854	68,944	87.617	87.524	67 679	87 629			
CivCan labor terce	65,458	67,312	67,288	66,741	67,450	67,533	67.552	67.643	67.5		
Participation rate	76.4	76.8	76.8	76.8	77.0	77.2	77.1	77.2	7		
Employed	62,678	83,763	63,953	62,959	64,281	64,133	64,478	64,465	64,2		
Aortadure	2 3 3 8	2113	2,300	72.4	73.4	73.3	73.6	73.6	7.		
Nonagricutural industrios	60,339	61,450	61.593	60.597	81.671	81 743	2,512	2,519	2,3		
Unemployed	3,780	3,550	3,338	3,762	3,169	3,408	3.074	3,178	33		
	5.7	5.3	5.0	5.7	4.7	5.0	4.6	4.7	4		
women, 16 years and over											
Sivilian noninstational population	102,244	103,128	103,197	102,244	102,913	103,004	103,068	103,128	103,19		
Participation rate	59,658	60,452	60,603	60,162	60,348	60,660	60,750	60,638	61,0		
Employed	56 112	57 185	57 260	56 344	57,097	58.9	58.9	59.0	59		
Employment-population ratio	54.9	55.5	55.5	55.1	55.5	55.6	55.7	55.7	57,4		
Unemployed	3,543	3,277	3,343	3,618	3,259	3,403	3,334	3,375	39		
Unemployment rate	5.9	5.4	5.5	6.3	5.4	5.6	5.5	5.5	5		
Women, 20 years and over											
NCon noninstitutional population	95,282	98,037	98,099	95,282	95,873	95,981	96.020	96.037	96.0		
SWICH LODO' HORDS	56,219	56,971	57,131	58,468	58,725	56,951	57,098	57,042	57,3		
Emological	51 281	59.3	59.5	59.3	59.2	59.3	59.5	59.4	52		
Employment-population ratio	55,9	56.5	58.6	33,318	54,037	54,134	54,334	54,242	54,4		
Agriculture	801	839	832	833	682	877	828	20.3	50		
Nonagricultural industries	52,4B0	53,383	53,477	52,485	53,155	53,257	53,438	53,329	53.4		
Unemployment rate	2,938	2,749	2,762	3,148	2.629	2,617	2,763	2,800	2,9		
Both sexes, 16 to 19 years							•*	• • •	-		
Civilian labor torce	14,135	14,348	14,385	14,135	14,274	14,263	14,204	14,348	14,35		
Participation rate	49.6	49.8	50.3	53.6	52.0	53.6	660	7,828	7.81		
Employed	5,645	5,959	5,958	6,125	6,252	6,372	6,313	6.567	6.44		
Active care and a construction ratio	33.9	41,5		43.3	43.8	44.7	44.2	45.8	44		
Nonaoricultural industries	5 4 37	8 744	6 712	243	240	303	245	266	22		
Unemployed	1,360	1,182	1,281	1.455	1,298	1 274	1.347	6,300	6,16		
						16121		1,200	1,30		
Unemployment rate	19.4	18.5	17.7	(8.4	1/2 1	10.7 1	17.6	16.1	17.		

23

¢

\_

#### Table A-2. Employment status of the civilian population by race, sax, age, and Hispanic origin

#### (Numbers in thousands)

Employment status, race, sex, age, and	Not set	Not sessionally adjusted			Sessonally adjusted <sup>1</sup>				
rispanc ongo	Apr. 1994	Mar. 1995	Apr. 1995	Apr. 1994	Dec. 1994	Jan. 1995	Feb. 1995	Mar. 1995	Apr. 1995
WHITE									
Civitian noninstitutional population	165,259	166,521	166,613	165,259	166,175	166,361	166,444	166,521	166,613
Civitan labor torce	109,984	111,250	111,338	110,800	111/1	111,676	111,830	111,899	112,153
Participation rate	00.0	100.0	00.0	87.1	104 353	108 268	108.604	101.00	108.600
Employed	100,000	100,000	100,000	104,581	100,332	100,300	100,004	100,030	100,500
Employment-population ratio	02.9	63.4	6.462	03.3	6 282	5.0	6 3236	6 301	8.652
Unemployed	5.5	5.041	4.9	5.6	4.8	4.9	4.7	4.7	5.0
Men, 20 years and over									
Çivilian tabor lorce	57,035	57,631	57,57B	57,228	57,836	57,848	57,841	57,868	57,768
Participation rate	76.9	77.1	77.0	77.2	77.5	77.5	77.5	77.5	77.3
Employed	54,134	54,838	55,004	54,356	55,384	55,289	55,508	55,448	55,225
Employment-population ratio	73.0	73.4	73.6	73.3	74.2	74.1	74.3	74.2	73.9
Unemployed	2,901	2,793	2,574	2,872	2,452	2,559	2,333	2,420	2.544
Unemployment rate	5.1	4.8	4,5	5.0	4.2	4.4	4.0	42.	4.4
Women, 20 years and over	48 802	47.495	47 58=	47.087	47.440	47.443	47 525	47 494	47 784
Crimen labor torce	40,032	47,490	47,565	47,067	47,440	4/,443	47,525	67,494 KD 1	47,705
Factored	44 845	45.515	45 622	44 837	45.475	45 419	45 581	45 515	45 622
Employee	64.2	544	54.7	56.1	58.7		58.7	58.6	56.7
Linemoired	2 047	1974	1963	2 230	1.985	2.024	1.944	1.978	2.143
Unemployment rate	4.4	4.2	4.1	4.7	4.1	4.3	4.1	4.2	4.5
Both sexes, 16 to 19 years									1
Civilian labor force	6,057	6,129	6,175	6,514	6,439	6,586	6,464	6,637	6,619
Participation rate	53.9	53.8	54.1	58.0	56.9	58.1	56.9	58.3	58.0
Employed	5,001	5,255	5,260	5,398	5,493	5,658	5,515	5,734	5,653
Employment-population ratio	44.5	46.2	46.1	48.0	48.5	49.9	48.5	50.4	49.5
Unemployed	1,056	674	915	1,116	946	828	949	903	966
Unemployment rate	17.4	14.3	14.8	17.1	14,7	14.1	14.7	13.6	14.8
Women	18.9	12.5	15.8	18.3	18.0	13.1	13.1	12.4	13.6
BLACK								•	
Civilian noninstitutional population	22,799	23,142	23,169	22,799	23,052	23,069	23,117	23,142	23,169
Civilian labor force	14,335	14,660	14,775	14,507	14,541	14,697	14,868	14,618	14,938
Participation rate	62.9	63.3	63.B	63.6	63.1	63.7	64.3	64.0	64.5
Employed	12,675	13,219	13,240	12,775	13,119	13,192	13,362	13,370	13,337
Employment-population ratio	55.6	57.1	57.1	56.0	56.9	57.1	57.0	57.8	57.6
Unemployed	1,661	1,440	1,535	1,732	1,422	1,505	1,505	1,448	1,601
Unemployment rate	11.6	9.8	10.4	11.9	9.8	10.2	10.1	9.8	10.7
Men, 20 years and over			4 000						
Destisionation rate	724	0,000	73.5	714	727	73.6	737	73.6	717
		4 224	4 2000	6 024	A 18-	6177	8 272	4 207	# 221
Employee	5,820	67.3	64.0	5,830	887	0,1/2	67.4	68.0	87
Inempired	697	546	606	805	857	624	540	531	605
Unemployment rate	10.5	8.3	6.9	10.5	6.3	9.2	7.9	7.8	8.9
Women, 20 years and over									
Civilian labor force	6,985	7,115	7,171	7,030	7,002	7,127	7,169	7,131	7,205
Participation rate	60.9	61.2	61.6	61.3	60.5	61.4	61.7	61.3	61.9
Employed	6,279	6,488	6,526	6,293	6,420	6,521	6,520	6,482	6,532
Employment-population ratio	54.6	j 55.8	56.0	54.9	55.5	56.2	56.1	55.7	56.1
Unemployed	705	627	646	737	582	606	648	649	673
Unemployment rate	10.1	8.8	9.0	10.5	8.3	8.5	9.0	9,1	9.3
Both sexes, 16 to 19 years	733	744	70-		A17	773	887	850	907
Desticination rate	100	331	353	345	346	346	395	36.2	402
Considered	475	407	512	1 30.5	534	499	570	501	584
Employee and the stin	21.4	22-	225	24	1 20	22	244	26.9	20
Inservice of	21.0	247	283	300	283	275	317	20.3	323
Lipemolognent rate	352	332	35.6	35.5	1	344	38.7	312	35.6
Man	41.9	33.5	37.1	39.7	34.3	34.0	38.7	31.7	35.4
Women	28.3	32.9	34.1	31.0	35.0	37.1	32.4	30.7	35.8

See footnotes at end of table.

÷

### Table A-2. Employment status of the civilian population by race, sex, egs, and Hispanic origin - Continued

(Numbers in thousands)

Employment status, race, sex, age, and Hispanic origin	Not se	ssonally a	djusted	Sessonally adjusted <sup>1</sup>							
	A1.	Mar. 1995	Apr. 1995	Apr. 1994	Dec. 1994	Jan. 1995	Feb. 1995	Mar. 1995	Apr. 1995		
HISPANIC ORIGIN Circlin norisolution conducton Circlin norisolution conducton Participation rate Employed Employed Unemployed Unemployed Unemployed	17,993 11,828 85.7 10,584 58.8 1,244 10.5	18,458 12,067 65.4 10,925 59.2 1,143 9.5	18,509 12,090 65.3 11,036 59.6 1,054 8,7	17,993 11,873 85.0 10,801 58.9 1,272 10,7	18.385 12,224 68.5 11,105 60.4 1,119 9.2	18,368 12,036 65.5 10,811 58.9 1,224 10,2	18,413 12,017 65.3 10,943 59.4 1,073 8.9	18,455 12,001 65.0 10,903 59.1 1,096 9.1	18,509 12,131 65.5 11,058 59.7 1,073 8.8		

<sup>1</sup> The population figures are not adjusted for sessonal variation; therefore, identical numbers appear in the unadjusted and sessonally adjusted columns. NOTE: Detail for the above race and Hispanic-origin groups will not sum to totals

because data for the "other mose" group are not presented and Hispanics are included in both the white and black population groups.

HOUSEHOLD DATA

.

.

#### Table A-3. Selected employment indicators

(Numbers in thousands)

Catagory ,	Not sessonally adjusted			Sessonally adjusted						
	Apr. 1994	Mar. 1995	2 9 2 9	Apr. 1994	Dec. 1994	Jan. 1995	Feb. 1995	Mar. 1995	Apr. 1995	
CHARACTERISTIC										
Total employed, 18 years and over	121.604	123.943	124 278	122 402	124 570	124 639	125 126	124.274	125 079	
Married men, spouse present	41,339	41,879	42,086	41.357	41,000	41.601	42 190	42 132	42 086	
Married women, spouse present	31,596	32,232	32,301	31,382	31,723	31,705	31,693	32,135	32 105	
Women who maintain families	7,104	7,163	7,181	7,000	7.074	7,199	7,067	7,071	7,152	
OCCUPATION										
Manageriat and professional specialty	33,692	34.985	34,943	33.477	34.576	34.023	34 905	34.845	34 785	
Technical, sales, and administrative support	36,908	37,259	37.306	36,972	37 797	37 267	37 313	37 207	37 391	
Service occupations	16,967	17,048	17.092	17,000	18,704	17.012	16,991	16 997	17 075	
Precision production, craft, and repair	13,020	13,382	13,408	13,264	13,677	13,784	13.638	13,910	13 680	
Operators, fabricators, and laborers	17,517	17,901	17,972	17,817	18.030	18,212	18.333	18,280	18,260	
Farming, forestry, and fishing	3.482	3,368	3,554	3,649	3,839	3,881	3.845	3,649	3,726	
CLASS OF WORKER										
Aoriculture:										
Wage and salary workers	1.656	1.762	1.635	1 695	1738	1 869	1 970	1 097	1 884	
Self-employed workers	1.652	1.553	1.600	1 707	1714	1.653	1694	1.674	1.649	
Unpeid temby workers	39	50	60	45	49	35	27	57		
Nonegricultural industries:						-		••		
Wage and salary workers	109,181	111.666	111.874	109.826	111.960	111.987	112 461	112 849	112 578	
Government	18,448	18,925	18,777	18,343	18,340	18,295	18,504	18,655	18.646	
Private industries	80,732	92,738	93.097	91,485	\$3,620	91,692	81,957	93,954	81,812	
Private households	966	1,017	954	1,003	1,023	1.075	1.075	1.039	988	
Other industries	89,785	91,721	82,143	90,482	92,597	92,617	92,882	92,925	92,945	
Sell-employed workers	6,937	8,777	8,795	9.010	8,959	9.039	8,904	8,005	0.548	
Unpeid family workers	139	135	114	133	121	95	118	129	110	
PERSONS AT WORK PART TIME										
Al industries:										
Part time for economic reasons	4,538	4,566	4.245	4,779	4.422	4 693	4.400	4.530	4 489	
Stack work or business conditions	2,276	2.47B	2,369	2,418	2,384	2.504	2.372	2 333	2 617	
Could only find part-time work	1,963	1.862	1.044	2.043	1,734	1777	1,739	1902	1.696	
Part time for noneconomic reasons	18,318	18,403	18,429	17,417	17,578	17,940	18,041	17,627	18,121	
Nonacria di val industrias										
Part time for economic meanne	4 307	4415	4.012							
Since work or business conditions	9,38/	2 3 7 7	3,012		- 254	4,430	4,187	4,347	4,171	
Could only find perturbe work	1,000	1.871	1000	2,206	2272	4,359	2,216	2.226	2,320	
Part time for noneconomic reasons	17,682	17,739	17,663	16.620	16,917	17.307	17.381	16,992	1,624	
····				<i>,</i>						

NOTE: Persons at work excludes employed persons who were absent from their jobs during the entire reference week for reasons such as vecation, lineas, or industrial dipute. Part time for renegonalic reasons excludes paramers who usuador

.

work full time but worked only 1 to 34 hours during the reference week for reasons such as holidays, liness, and bad weether.

.

Table A-4. Selected unemployment indicators, seasonally adjusted

(Numbers in thousands)

Category	une	Number of Unemployment nat (in thousands)				ment rates <sup>1</sup>	ites <sup>1</sup>			
	Apr. 1994	Mar. 1995	Apr. 1995	Apr. 1994	Dec. 1994	Jan. 1995	Feb. 1995	Mar. 1995	Apr. 1995	
CHARACTERISTIC										
Total, 16 years and over Men, 20 years and over Women 20 wars and over	8,385 3,782 3,148	7,237	7,665 3,339 2,957	8.4 5.7	5.4 4.7	5.7 5.0	5.4	5.5 4.7	5.6 4.9	
Both sexes, 16 to 19 years	1,455	1,260	1,369	19.2	17.2	18.7	17.6	16.1	17.5	
Marned men. spouse present Marned women, spouse present	1,699 1,361 713	1,381 1,293 585	1,461 1,404 703	3.9 4.2 9.1	3.2 3.7 8.6	3.4 3.7 8.9	3.0 3.6 6.1	3.2 3.9 7.6	3.4 4.2 9.0	
Fu5-time workers	6,624 1,535	5,805 1,443	6,068 1,570	6.4 6.2	5.3 5.9	5.5 6.2	5.3 6.0	5.4 5.8	5.6 6.3	
OCCUPATION <sup>2</sup>				1						
Managerial and professional specialty	907 2,049 849 1,927 326	697 1,692 766 1,488 336	900 1,905 875 1,585 344	2.6 5.3 6.7 9.8 6.2	2.3 4.3 5.7 8.2 7.8	2.3 4.6 5.8 8.2 7.6	2.2 4.4 5.4 7.8 7.2	2.5 4.3 5.2 7.5 8.0	2.5 4.8 6.0 7,9 8.5	
INDUSTRY						·				
Nonspriculture (investi wege and salary workers	6,458 2,003 45 745 1,213 668 547 4,455 369 1,944 268 1,876 678 205	5,512 1,688 41 711 934 504 430 3,825 319 1,598 243 1,685 521 234	5,900 1,802 27 762 1,013 529 484 4,098 323 1,738 2,46 1,791 1,791 1,791 2,46 1,595 240	6.6 7.3 6.6 12.4 5.5 6.3 6.3 5.5 6.3 7.6 3.5 6.2 3.6 10.6	5.6 6.2 3.9 10.9 4.8 5.4 5.4 5.4 4.2 6.7 2.9 5.2 3.1 11.1	5.7 8.4 5.1 11.7 4.2 5.4 5.4 5.4 4.7 6.8 2.9 5.2 3.2 10.7	5.5 5.8 5.2 10.5 4.4 3.9 5.0 5.4 4.5 6.4 3.5 5.2 2.8 9.1	5.5 6.0 6.1 10.8 4.5 4.2 4.9 5.4 4.5 6.2 3.3 5.3 5.3 2.7 10.5	5.9 6.4 4.3 11.8 4.8 4.4 5.4 5.7 4.6 6.6 3.4 5.6 3.4 5.6 3.1 11.3	

<sup>1</sup> Unemployment as a percent of the civilian labor force.
 <sup>2</sup> Seasonally adjusted unemployment data for service occupations are not

available because the seasonal component, which is small relative to the trend-cycle and irregular components, cannot be separated with sufficient precision.

#### Table A-5. Duration of unemployment

(Numbers in thousands)

٠

Duration	Not se	asonally a	djusted	Sessonally adjusted						
	Apr. 1994	Mar. 1995	Apr. 1995	Apr. 1994	Dec. 1994	Jan. 1995	Feb. 1995	Mar. 1995	Apr. 1995	
NUMBER OF UNEMPLOYED										
Less than 5 weeks	2,539	2,278	2,424	2,772	2,587	2,937	2,600	2,523	2,629	
D to 14 weeks	2,193	2,569	2,141	2,482	2,149	2,122	2,165	2,319	2,430	
15 weeks and over	3,346	2,633	2,813	2,972	2,458	2,386	2,298	2,266	2,505	
15 to 25 weeks	1,452	1,199	1,294	1,237	1,068	1,033	1,090	920	1,115	
27 weeks and over	1,894	1,434	1,520	1,735	1,368	1,353	1,207	1,347	1,390	
Average (mean) duration, in weeks	20.5	18.2	19.0	19.1	17.6	16.7	18.0	175	177	
Median duration, in weeks	11.3	9.8	10.2	9.2	8.7	7,9	7.6	7.9	8.5	
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION										
Total unemployed	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
Less than 5 weeks	31,4	30.5	32.9	33.7	36.0	39.4	36.8	35.5	34.8	
5 to 14 weeks	27.1	34.3	29.0	30.2	29.9	28.5	30.7	32.6	32.1	
15 weeks and over	41.4	35.2	38.1	36.1	34.1	32.0	32.5	31.9	33.1	
15 to 26 weeks	18.0	18.0	17.5	15.0	15.1	13.9	15.4	12.9	14.7	
27 weeks and over	23.5	19.2	20.6	21.1	19.0	18.2	17.1	18.9	18.4	

HOUSEHOLD DATA

Table A-6. Reason for unemployment

(Numbers in thousands)

Reason	Not ee	sonally a	sdjusted Sessonally adjusted				idjusted		
	Apr. 1994	44ar. 1995	Apr. 1995	Apr. 1994	Dec. 1994	Jen. 1995	Feb. 1995	Mar. 1995	Apr. 1995
NUMBER OF UNEMPLOYED									
Job losers and persons who completed temporary jobs	3,832	3,718	3,479	3,880	3.442	3,658	3,339	3.352	3.53
On temporary layoff	904	1,267	1,053	979	930	1,061	1.025	1.032	1.14
Not on temporary layoff	2,928	2,451	2,425	2,901	2.812	2,598	2314	2,320	2.35
Permanent job losera	2,279	1,765	1,780	- Ch -	0	<u> (</u> 1)	<u> 7</u> 5	75	115
Persons who completed temporary jobs	649	686	645	115	11	115	115	1 25	1 14
Job leavers	790	819	797	610	704	`róa	773	<b>`</b> níı	` ni
Reentrants	2.847	2.435	2.526	3 164	2 525	2488	2 474	2 4 30	
New entrants	609	509	578	679	555	597	582	604	63
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION									
Total unemployed	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100/
Job losers and persons who completed temporary jobs	47.4	49.7	47.2	45.5	47.6	49.2	44.4		100.
On temporary layoff	11.2	16.9	14.3	11.5	12.0		11.5	143	
Not on temporary level?		12.8	****	140					
Job leavers		10.0	10.4				32.3		30.
Reentranta	35.2	12.6		17.1	34.0		10.0		10.
New entrants	7.5	6.6	7.8	8.0	7.7	8.0	8.1	84	35.
UNEMPLOYED AS A PERCENT OF THE CIVILIAN LABOR FORCE									
Job losers and persons who completed temporary jobs	3.0	2.8	2.6	30	2.6	2.0	2.5	2.5	• •
Job leavers									-
Reartmenta	22	10			10				
New entrants			- 1		11	· · · · ·	1.0	1.1	*.
	~		~ 1	~ 1		- •			-

.

HOUSEHOLD DATA

.

.

- . · ·

Table A-7. Unemployed persons by sex and age, sessonally adjusted

Age and sex	Number of unemployed persons (in thousands)			Unemployment rates*							
	Apr. 1994	Mar. 1995	Apr. 1995	Apr. 1994	Dec. 1994	Jan. 1995	Feb. 1995	Mar. 1995	Apr. 1995		
Total, 16 years and over	6,385 2,854	7,237 2,531	7,665	6.4 13.2	5.4 11.6	5.7 11.4	5.4 11.7	5.5 11.6	5.8 11.8		
16 to 17 years	751 730 1,409	649 591 1,272	663 724 1,202	23.5 16.5 10.0	17.2 18.1 16.6 8.6	18.7 20.0 14.2 8.5	17.6 20.7 15.3	16.1 20.0 13.0	17.5 20.6 15.7		
25 years and over	5,488 4,848 655	4,653 4,101 555	5,059 4,485 599	5.0 5.2 4.3	4.3 4.4 3.5	4.5 4.0 3.9	4.2 4.3 3.4	4.2 4.3 3.5	4.6 4.7 3.8		
Men, 16 years and over	4,567 1,574 785	3,662 1,350	4,067 1,365 728	6.5 13.8	5.5 12.2	5.7 12.0	5.4 12.1	5.4 11.7	5.7 11.8		
16 to 17 years	413 408 789	334 344 665	365 393 637	24.9 18.0 10.5	18.8 18.2 9.0	20.9 14,5 9,1	22.6 16.7 6.2	17.0 20.2 14.6	17.8 21.7 16.1 8.6		
25 years and over	2,969 2,581 382	2.480 2,187 319	2,676 2,308 372	5.0 5.1 4.5	4.3 4.3 3.5	4.5 4.6 4.0	4.0 4.2 3.6	4.1 4.2 3.7	4.5 4.5 4.3		
Women, 16 years and over	3,818 1,290 670	3,375 1,182 575	3,598 1,206 641	6.3 12.6 18.1	5.4 10.9 15.8	5.6 10.7 15.9	5.5 11.2 15.6	5.5 11.5 15.2	5.9 11.9 17.2		
10 to 17 years	338 322 620 2.519	315 247 606 2.174	298 331 566 2 383	22.1 14.9 9.4	17.4 14.9 8.1	19.1 13.9 7.8	18.7 13.7 8.7	19.8 11.3 9.4	19.4 15.2 8.8		
25 to 54 years	2.267 273	1,914 236	2,177	5.3 4.0	4.4 3.4	4.6 3.7	4.3 4.5 3.2	4.3 4.4 3.4	4.7 5.0 3.3		

<sup>1</sup> Unemployment as a percent of the civilian labor force.

Table A-8. Persons not in the labor force and multiple jobholders by sex, not seasonally adjusted (Numbers in thousands)

Category		otal		ien	Women		
	Apr.	Apr.	Apr.	Apr.	Арг.	Apr.	
	1994	1995	1994	1995	1994	1995	
NOT IN THE LABOR FORCE							
Total not in the labor force	66,681	68,492	24,092	23,696	42,589	42,594	
Persons who currently want a pob-	6,574	5,433	2,681	2,324	3,693	3,109	
Searched for work and exaliable to work now <sup>1</sup>	1,770	1,390	843	719	927	671	
Discouragement over job prospects <sup>2</sup>	502	385	310	268	192	117	
Reasons other than discouragement <sup>3</sup>	1,267	1,006	533	452	735	554	
MULTIPLE JOBHOLDERS							
Total mutiple jobholders <sup>4</sup> Percent of total employed	7,300	7,710	3,841	4,111	3,459	3,599	
	6.0	6.2	5.9	6.1	6.2	6.3	
Primary job hull time secondary job part time	4,269	4,490	2,485	2,675	1,784	1,815	
	1,580	1,700	479	512	1,101	1,188	
	250	241	181	183	89	57	
	1,159	1,245	682	721	477	524	

<sup>1</sup> Data refer to persons who have searched for work during the prior 12 months and were extellable to take a pb during the reference week. <sup>2</sup> Includes thinks no wink extellable, could not find work, lacks schooding or training, mapkinger thinks too young or old, and other yose of discrimination. <sup>2</sup> Includes through who during at where you for work in the prior 4 weeks for such <sup>3</sup> Includes through who during the who look for work in the prior 4 weeks for such <sup>3</sup>

reasons as child-care and transportation problems, as well as a small number for which reason for nonparticipation was not determined. <sup>4</sup> Includes persons who work part time on their primary job and kild time on their secondary jole), not shown separately.

28

#### Table A-9. Employment status of the civilian population for 11 large states

#### (Numbers in thousands)

	Not se	seasonally adjusted <sup>1</sup> Seasonally adjusted <sup>2</sup>							
State and employment status	Apr. 1994	Mar. 1995	Apr. 1995	Apr. 1994	Dec. 1994	Jan. 1995	Feb. 1995	Mar. 1995	Apr. 1995
California									
Civilian noninstitutional population Civilian tabor force Employed Unemployed	23,437 15,419 14,014 1,405	23,541 15,251 14,067 1,184	23,557 15,264 14,080 1,184	23,437 15,504 14,065 1,438	23,524 15,432 14,246 1,185	23,528 15,371 14,110 1,261	23,535 15,332 14,209 1,122	23,541 15,307 14,140 1,167	23,557 15,342 14,127 1,215
Unemployment rate	9.1	7.8	7.8	9.3	7.7	8.2	7.3	7.6	7.9
Fiorida									
Civilian noninstitutional population Civilian labor force Employed	10,858 6,727 6,268 459 6.8	11,009 6,781 6,496 286 4.2	11,023 6,878 6,516 362 5.3	10,858 6,793 6,303 490 7,2	10,973 6,935 6,492 443 6.4	10,984 6,860 6,460 400 5.8	10,997 6,762 6,461 301 4,5	11,009 6,809 6,513 297 4,4	11,023 6,944 6,552 392 5.6
Illinois									
Civilian noninstitutional population Civilian labor force Employed	8,850 5,984 5,648 338 5.6	8,889 6,065 5,771 294 4.8	8,912 6,160 5,815 345 5.6	8,850 6,042 5,699 343 5.7	8,883 5,969 5,688 281 4.7	8,684 6,015 5,697 318 5.3	8,887 6,111 5,790 321 5.3	8,889 6,114 5,846 269 4,4	8,912 6,219 5,868 352 5.7
Massachusetts									
Civilian noninstitutional population Civilian labor force Employed Unemployed Unemployment rate	4,681 3,108 2,927 182 5.8	4,688 3,170 3,005 165 5.2	4,666 3,121 2,945 177 5.7	4,681 3,152 2,961 191 6.1	4,688 3,194 3,014 180 5.6	4,688 3,221 3.028 194 6.0	4,688 3,202 3,030 172 5.4	4,688 3,132 3,035 146 4.6	4,666 3,166 2,979 187 5.9
Michigan									
Civilian noninstitutional population Civilian labor force Employed Unemployed	7,132 4,716 4,448 270 5,7	7,155 4,672 4,371 301 6,4	7,163 4,680 4,419 262 5.6	7,132 4,801 4,517 284 5.9	7,152 4,720 4,504 218 4.6	7,153 4,721 4,463 259 5.5	7,154 4,720 4,457 263 5.6	7,155 4,735 4,449 285 6.0	7,163 4,767 4,489 278 5.8
New Jersey									
Civilian noninstitutional population Civilian labor force Employed Unemployed Unemployment rate	6,051 3,693 3,621 272 7.0	6,072 4,031 3,768 263 6.5	6,116 4,048 3,798 250 6.2	6,051 3,946 3,664 283 7,2	6,070 3,999 3,750 249 6.2	6,070 4,009 3,720 289 7.2	6,072 4,006 3,762 244 6.1	6,072 4,026 3,791 235 5.8	6,116 4,106 3,847 260 6.3
New York									
Civilian noninstitutional population Civilian labor force Employed Unemployed Unemployment rate	13,991 8,553 7,901 652 7,6	13,973 8,470 7,894 576 6.8	13,991 8,454 7,900 554 6.6	13,991 8,589 7,917 672 7.8	13,985 8,565 8,080 485 5.7	13,981 8,438 7,934 504 6.0	13,977 8,522 7,998 523 6.1	13,973 8,479 7,921 558 6.6	13,991 8,490 7,914 575 6.6

See footnotes at end of table.

#### HOUSEHOLD DATA

.

### Table A-9. Employment status of the civilian population for 11 large states --- Continued

(Numbers in thousands)

	Not se	asonally a	ljusted <sup>1</sup>	Seasonally adjusted <sup>2</sup>							
State and employment status	Apr. 1994	Mar. 1995	Apr. 1995	Apr. 1994	Dec. 1994	Jan. 1995	Feb. 1995	Mar. 1995	Apr. 1995		
North Carolina											
Civilian noninstitutional population Civilian tabor force	5,363 3,552 3,417 135 3.8	5,444 3,619 3,472 147 4.1	5,431 3,606 3,444 161 4.5	5,363 3,592 3,445 147 4,1	5,425 3,681 3,556 125 3.4	5,431 3,655 3,515 140 3.8	5,438 3,646 3,478 168 4.6	5,444 3,665 3,522 144 3.9	5,431 3,645 3;472 173 4.7		
Ohio		ļ	[						1		
Civilian noninstitutional population Civilian labor force Employed Unemployed Unemployed Unemployment rate	8,409 5,485 5,142 343 6.3	8,436 5,524 5,281 243 4.4	8,442 5,478 5,227 251 4.6	8,409 5,528 5,184 344 6.2	8,434 5,572 5,322 250 4.5	8,434 5,495 5,274 220 4.0	8,435 5,568 5,344 224 4.0	8,436 5,533 5,325 208 3.8	8,442 5,519 5,269 250 4.5		
Pennsylvania					i i						
Civilian noninstitutional population Civilian labor force Employed Unemployed Unemployment rate	9,276 5,782 5,397 385 6.7	9,280 5,864 5,503 361 6.2	9,272 5,877 5,527 350 6.0	9,276 5,864 5,480 384 6.5	9,284 5,792 5,445 347 6.0	9,282 5,792 5,452 341 5.9	9,281 5,804 5,479 325 5.6	9,280 5,953 5,594 359 6.0	9,272 5,962 5,613 349 5.8		
Texas								Ì			
Civilian noninstitutional population Civilian tabor force	13,491 9,334 8,751 582 6.2	13,725 9,423 8,901 521 5.5	13,753 9,529 8,993 536 5.6	13,491 9,360 8,754 606 6.5	13,668 9,437 8,869 569 6.0	13,687 9,464 8,919 645 5.8	13,706 9,512 9,030 481 5.1	13,725 9,482 8,945 537 5.7	13,753 9,560 8,997 563 5.9		

<sup>1</sup> These are the official Bureau of Labor Statistics' estimates used in the administration of Federal lund allocation programs. <sup>2</sup> The population figures are not adjusted for seasonal variation; therefore,

identical numbers appear in the unadjusted and the seasonally adjusted columns.

## 31

.

#### ESTABLISHMENT DATA

#### Table B-1. Employees on nonterm payrolls by industry

(in thousands)

		lot season	ally adjus	ed			Seasonal	ly adjusted				
	Apr. 1994	Feb. 1995	Mar. 1995 <sup>0</sup>	Apr. 1995 <sup>p</sup>	Apr. 1994	Dec. 1994	Jan. 1995	Feb. 1995	Mar. 1995 <sup>p</sup>	Apr. 1995 <sup>0</sup>		
Total	112,492	114,133	114,788	115,621	112,699	115,113	115,282	115,637	115,814	115,805		
Total private	93,149	94,672	95,229	96,080	93,718	95,962	96,153	96,473	98,650	98,640		
Goods-producing industries	23,222	23,289	23,439	23,874	23,508	23,873	23,958	23,945	24,002	23,951		
Mining	600	577	579	581	606	597	595	592	592	589		
Coel minino	1140	1102	1 104	1101	/ <sup>30</sup>	/11 <sup>32</sup>	<del>2</del> 2	(,, <sup>53</sup>				
Oil and nas extraction	334.2	320 1	310.4	218.1	1 1/2 1/2	1200	(1)	(1)	(1)	l 0		
Nonmatalic minerals, except fuels	100.3	94.4	98.2	102.1	100	102	103	103	103	102		
Construction	4 7 10	4 5.04	4 702	4 0000	4.000	6 050	c					
General building contractors	1 1 7 0	1,304	1 1 20 2	4,800	4,083	5,050	5,082	5,062	5,130	5,110		
Heavy construction, except building	607.6	600 1	075.3	1,100.2	1,103	1,190	1,207	1,202	1,204	1,20		
Special trade contractors	2.902.5	2,852.1	2,938.8	3,070.2	3,005	3,130	3,157	3,138	3,194	3,178		
Manutacturing	17,904	18,148	18,158	18,160	18,007	18,226	18,271	18,291	18,280	18,252		
Production workers	12,314	12,547	12,561	12,568	12,391	12,607	12,845	12,668	12,662	12,637		
Durable goods	10,188	10,398	10,418	10,432	10,218	10,403	10,435	10,482	10,461	10,455		
Production workers	6,908	7,123	7,144	7,159	6,924	7,120	7,142	7,178	7,179	7,172		
Lumber and wood products	714.2	728.7	729.1	726.4	726	744	749	745	744	737		
Furniture and fixtures	491.3	500.7	500.0	497.2	493	501	502	504	502	499		
Stone, clay, and glass products	525.0	520.4	528.7	539.4	529	538	539	542	543	543		
Primary metal industries	676.2	701.6	702.0	703.7	678	701	703	704	704	706		
Blast turnaces and basic steel products	229.7	234.0	234.0	234.5	231	235	234	235	235	238		
Fabricated metal products	1,347.8	1,408.7	1,409.8	1,410,4	1,353	1,398	1.407	1.415	1.415	1 418		
industrial machinery and equipment	1,940.2	1.965.7	1,993,9	2.001.1	1,938	1.967	1,977	1 984	1 990	1 007		
Electronic and other electrical equipment	1,536.9	1,589,7	1,590.3	1.590.7	1.542	1.584	1.588	1.594	1 595	1 504		
Transportation equipment	1,722.3	1.745.7	1.744.6	1 747 2	1 7 1 9	1 744	1 745	1 749	1 748	1 745		
Motor vehicles and equipment	872.3	922.0	822.9	927 7	870	014	027	025	025	036		
Aircraft and parts	485.9	456.4	454 A	453.8	486	482	450	457	455	455		
Instruments and related products	859.1	840.3	839.2	B37 7	861	845	842	841	840	020		
Miscellaneous manufacturing	374.9	378.0	379.9	378.1	377	383	383	384	382	379		
Nondurable goods Production workers	7,716 5,406	7,750 5,424	7,740 5,417	7,728 5,409	7,791 5,487	7,823 5,487	7, <b>83</b> 6 5,503	7.829 5.492	7,819 5,483	7,797 5,485		
Food and kindled products	1 813 8	1 628 0	1 625 0	1 821 4	1 887	1.000		1 0 77				
Toherro products	1,013.0	1020.0	36.0	1,021.4	1.00/	1,008	1.0/8	1.0//	1,8//	1,6/5		
Textile mil products	671 3	887.2	665.5	000.0	873		30	38	30	36		
Annarel and other taxtile products	051 7	0001	005.3	000.0	073	0/0		0/1	8/0	669		
Paper and allied products	670 4	680.2	670.7	881.0	604	695	600	800		922		
Printing and publishing	1 522 5	1 548 4	1 640 2	1 545 5	1.522	1 646	1 5 4 5			665		
Chemicals and allied products	1.052.6	1 043 0	1 042 1	1 041 3	1 057	1 047	1 048	1 047	1,001	1,046		
Petroleum and coal products	148.2	1423	144.2	144.7	148	140	146	147	140	140		
Bubber and misc, plastics products	924.0	062.0	081 7	060 4	077	057	000		140	140		
Leather and leather products	114.B	111.6	111.8	110.6	116	114	114	113	113	111		
Service-producing industries	89,270	90,844	91,349	91,947	69,193	91,240	91,324	91,692	91,812	91,854		
Transportation and public utilities	5,718	5,856	5.878	5.911	5,759	5.911	5,913	5,931	5940	5 953		
Transportation	3,551	3,694	3,713	3,742	3.582	3.734	3.747	3,756	3 764	3 773		
Railroad transportation	245.3	242.2	243.5	248.2	248	248	240	247	247	3,773		
Local and interurban passenger transit	396.4	411.5	414.0	415.2	386	394	390	400	401	402		
Trucking and warehousing	1,630,1	1,749,6	1,755,7	1.771.4	1.665	1.794	1 798	1 804	1 806	1 800		
Water transportation	184.4	160.5	162.4	166.2	164	165	160	168	167	169		
Transportation by air	733.3	734.2	738.9	745.2	738	739	737	739	744	748		
Pipelines, except natural gas	17.5	16.8	16.8	16.7	18	17	17	17	17	17		
Transportation services	364.1	378.9	381.7	381.5	363	377	381	381	382	381		
Communications and public utilities	2,167	2,162	2,165	2,169	2177	2.177	2.168	2 175	2 178	2 180		
Communications	1,244,7	1,263.0	1,266.8	1.270.A	1.250	1.264	1.257	1,260	1.273	1 277		
Electric, gas, and sanitary services	921.9	899.3	897.8	898.5	927	913	909	906	903	903		
Wholesale trade	6,006	6.124	6.149	6.181	6.028	6.136	6 160	6 184	A 104	8 204		
Durable goods	3,438	3,509	3,527	3.541	3.445	3.504	3 520	3 534	3.544	3 5/0		
Nondurable goods	2,570	2.615	2.622	2.640	2.581	2,632	2 640	2 452	2 851	2 664		
						2,2	2,0-0	6,002	2,001	2,000		

.

See footnotes at end of table.

.

Table B-1. Employees on nonfarm payrotts by industry - Continued

(in thousands)

	N	ol season	aliy adjust	ed .	Seasonally adjusted							
tndustry	Apr. 1994	Føb. 1995	Mar. 1995 <sup>p</sup>	Apr. 1995 <sup>p</sup>	Apr. 1994	Dec. 1994	Jan. 1995	Feb. 1995	Mar. 1995 <sup>p</sup>	Apr. 1995 <sup>p</sup>		
Retail trade	19,903	20,297	20,331	20,593	20,137	20,751	20,779	20,843	20,811	20,824		
Building materials and garden supplies	832.1	625.0	B40.5	878.6	829	863	872	874	872	874		
General merchandise stores	2,351.4	2,455.5	2,416.1	2,434.4	2,442	2,555	2,545	2,534	2,517	2,531		
Food stores	3,193.8	3,261.4	3,261.2	3,249.9	3,229	3,289	3,296	3,298	3,304	3,298		
Automotive dealers and service stations	2,117.5	2,192.3	2,209.0	2,229.1	2,132	2,204	2,215	2,223	2,234	2,240		
Apparel and accessory stores	1,120.8	1,109.6	1,102.2	1,111.5	1,146	1,147	1,148	1,144	1,135	1,138		
Furniture and home turnishings stores	868.1	946.6	952.4	955.1	876	937	947	950	960	963		
Eating and drinking places	6,973.9	6,977.7	7,053.4	7,227.8	6,995	7,212	7,213	7,268	7,242	7,242		
Miscellaneous retail establishments	2,445.8	2,529.2	2,496.5	2,507.0	2,488	2,544	2,543	2,552	2,547	2,550		
Finance, insurance, and real estate	6,766	6,720	6,750	8,770	6,791	6,785	6,779	6,782	6,795	6,796		
Finance	3,252	3,228	3,234	3,234	3,259	3,245	3,239	3,238	3,242	3,242		
Depository institutions	2.035.7	2,020.4	2,021.5	2,019.0	2,042	2.034	2,030	2,029	2,030	2,027		
Nondepository institutions	487.9	454.3	457.0	459.9	487	459	456	452	454	458		
Security and commodity brokers	497.4	511.8	511.8	509.1	499	513	513	515	514	511		
Holding and other investment offices	230.7	241.6	244.1	245.6	231	239	240	242	244	248		
Insurance	2,187	2,163	2,171	2,171	2,189	2,167	2,167	2,167	2,171	2,172		
Insurance carriers	1,525.7	1,491.3	1,496.1	1,494.9	1,527	1,498	1,495	1,493	1,496	1,495		
Insurance agents, brokers, and service	661.4	671.5	674.4	675.6	662	669	672	674	675	677		
Real estate	1,327	1,329	1.345	1,365	1,343	1,373	1,373	1,377	1,382	1,382		
Services2	31 532	32386	32 692	32 051	31 407	32 606	32 564	33 796	33 000	32 012		
Anicultural services	51,002	466.0	6024	52,001	51,437	32,300	32,304	32,100	32,800	32,912		
Hotels and other indoing places	1 566.0	1 515 5	1 535 5	1 547 0	1 609	1 505	1 500	1 500	1 601	1 600		
Personal services	1 100.5	1 208 0	1 203 4	1 202 1	1 1 37	1 1 3 1	1,000	1 1 4 9	1.145	1,390		
Risines services	A 255.3	6 674 5	6 749.0	6 802 3	6318	6 770	6 705	6.967	6 000	6 064		
Personnel supply services	2 220 7	24122	24410	2 476 9	2 282	2 5 1 5	2 540	2,590	2 541	2,620		
Auto repeir services and parking	1 022 3	1 099 7	1 112 7	1 120 0	1 026	1 003	1 101	1 107	1 117	1 1 22		
Miscellaneous repair services	375.2	390.0	392.6	393.3	377	388	391	395	397	395		
Motion pictures	460.8	562.8	570.0	582.4	465	536	549	567	573	584		
Amusement and recreation services	1,264.6	1.144.1	1.201.7	1.277.4	1.275	1.265	1,233	1,260	1.298	1 294		
Health services	8,966.6	9,168.4	9,203.4	9,216.6	8,985	9,147	9,157	9,196	9,222	9,235		
Flospitals	3,786.3	3,789.1	3,794.7	3,799.1	3,794	3,796	3,794	3,793	3,798	3,807		
Legal services	935.6	946.3	948.5	948.7	941	950	950	952	954	953		
Educational services	1,B41.7	1,888.2	1,892.1	1,897.5	1,733	1,772	1,760	1,785	1,782	1,783		
Social services	2,217.9	2,341.4	2,361.0	2,368.0	2,205	2,322	2,333	2,344	2,356	2,356		
Museums and botanical and zoological												
gardens	77.2	73.6	75.8	79.7	79	80	80	81	81	81		
Membership organizations	2,036.8	2,040.5	2,048.2	2,047.2	2,047	2,059	2,061	2,061	2,061	2,057		
Engineering and management services	2,603.4	2,691.6	2,710.5	2,723.4	2,590	2,654	2,674	2,694	2,700	2,710		
Services, nec	40.0	40.9	40.8	40.9	(1)	(1)	(1)	(1)	(1)	(1)		
Government	19,343	19.461	19,559	19.541	18,981	19,151	19.129	19 164	19 164	19 165		
Federal	2,876	2,823	2,820	2 806	2 882	2,869	2 834	2 8 2 9	2 823	2,800		
State	4.654	4,695	4,726	4,728	4.534	4.585	4.570	4.602	4 605	4 604		
Education	1,983,2	2.003.9	2.031.4	2.029.8	1.850	1.874	1.864	1.889	1,891	1 895		
Other State government	2.670.9	2.691.0	2.694.7	2.698.4	2.684	2,711	2,715	2 713	2 714	2 709		
Local	11.813	11,943	12.013	12.007	11.565	11.697	11.716	11 733	11.736	11 752		
Education	6,771.1	6.908.0	6.955.7	6,932,9	6.436	6.536	6.563	6.579	6.581	6 590		
Other local government	5,041.6	5,035.3	5,057.4	5,073.B	5,129	5,161	5,153	5,154	5,155	5,162		
						_,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		-,	-,	-,		

<sup>1</sup> These series are not published seasonally adjusted since the seasonal component is small relative to the trend-cycle and/or irregular components and consequently cannot be separated with sufficient

precision. <sup>2</sup> Includes other industries, not shown separately. P = preliminary.

-

#### ESTABLISHMENT DATA

Table B-2. Average weekly hours of production or nonsupervisory workers<sup>1</sup> on private nonfarm payrolis by industry

	N	01 508501	ally adjust	od	Seasonally adjusted						
Industry	Apr. 1994	Feb. 1995	Mar. 1995 <sup>0</sup>	Арг. 1995 <sup>р</sup>	Apr. 1994	Dec. 1994	Jan. 1995	Feb. 1995	Mar. 1995 <sup>p</sup>	Apr. 1995 <sup>0</sup>	
Total private	34.5	34.2	34.2	34.3	34.7	34.6	34.8	34.5	34.5	34.6	
Mining	44.5	44.4	43.9	44.1	45.0	44.7	45.0	44.9	44,4	44.5	
Construction	38.3	36.9	38.1	37.6	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	
Manufacturing Overtime hours	42.0 4.5	41.7 4.5	41.7 4.4	40.3 3.5	42.2 4.8	42.2 4.8	42.2 4.9	42.1 4.9	41 <u>.9</u> 4.7	41.3 4.3	
Durable goods Overtime hours	42.9 4.9	42.5 4.9	42.8 4.9	40.9 3.6	43.0 5.2	43.0 5.1	43.1 5.3	43.0 5.3	42.7	42.1	
Lumber and wood products	41.3 40.1 43.4 44.6 44.7 42.7 43.7 42.3 44.5 48.4	40.0 39.7 41.8 44.8 45.0 42.7 44.0 41.5 44.4 45.9	40.4 39.5 42.5 44.4 44.8 42.5 43.8 41.5 44.8 45.9	40.1 37.8 42.2 42.8 43.8 40.2 41.6 40.0 42.5 43.3	41.4 40.3 43.4 44.9 45.1 43.0 43.9 42.6 44.6 46.1	41.3 40.4 43.5 45.1 45.5 43.1 43.7 42.0 44.7 48.4	41.4 40.8 43.7 44.9 45.8 43.3 44.1 42.2 44.5 48.2	40.7 40.7 43.0 44.9 45.5 43.1 44.1 41.7 44.8 46.3	40.7 39.8 43.2 44.4 44.9 42.8 43.7 41.5 44.5 45.8	40,5 38,7 42,5 43,8 41,7 43,0 41,2 44,4 44,1	
Instruments and related products Miscellaneous manufacturing	41.5 40.2	41.5 39.8	41.7 39.9	40.2 38.7	41.8 40.4	41.7 39.8	41.8 40.1	41.7 40.9	41.6 39.9	41,1 39,9	
Nondurable goods Overtime hours	40.8 4,1	40.5 3.9	40.5 3.9	39.5 3.4	41.1 4.3	41.1 4.3	41.0 4,4	41.0 4.3	40.8 4.2	40.2 3.9	
Food and kindred products	40.5 39.4 41.9 37.5 43.8 38.6 43.1 45.1 42.4 38.6	40.8 38.5 41.2 37.3 43.3 38.1 43.2 44.4 42.0 37.9	40.6 38.1 41.2 37.3 43.1 38.4 43.3 43.4 41.8 38.0	39.7 37.8 39.7 35.3 42.0 37.8 43.2 44.9 40.1 38.8	41.2 (2) 42.0 38.0 44.0 38.8 43.2 (2) 42.4 39.0	41.8 (2) 41.8 37.7 44.0 38.7 43.2 (2) 42.4 38.4	41.8 (2) 41.8 37.4 44.0 38.4 43.3 (2) 42.1 37.8	41.3 (2) 42.0 37.8 43.9 38.4 43.5 (2) 42.3 38.4	41.2 (2) 41.7 37.5 43.8 38.4 43.3 (2) 42.0 38.4	40.6 (2) 40.7 38.7 42.7 38.2 43.3 (2) 40.9 37.7	
Transportation and public utilities	39.9	39.3	39.2	39.7	40.2	39.5	39.9	39.7	39.6	39.9	
Wholesale trade	38.3	38.0	38.0	38.3	38.4	38.2	38.5	38.2	38.2	38.4	
Retail trade	28.7	28.1	28.3	28. <del>9</del>	29.0	28.9	29.0	28.7	28.8	29.0	
Finance, insurance, and real estate	35.7	35.7	35.5	38.4	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	
Services	32.4	32.3	32.3	32.5	32.5	32.4	32.8	32.4	32.4	32.6	

<sup>1</sup> Data relate to production workers in mining and manufacturing; construction workers in construction; and non-upervisory workers in transportation and public utilities; wholesate and retail trade; finance, insurance, and real estitate; and services. These groups account for approximately four-fitths of the local employees on private nonfarm payrols.

 $^2$  These series are not published seasonally adjusted since the seasonal component is small relative to the trans-cycle and/or imagular components and consequently cannot be separated with sufficient precision.  $^{\rm p}$  = preliminary.

#### ESTABLISHMENT DATA

Table B-3. Average hourly and weekly earnings of production or nonsupervisory workers<sup>1</sup> on private nonfarm payrolis by industry

		Average ho	urly earnings	5		Average we	verage weekly earnings				
Industry	Apr. 1994	Feb. 1995	Mar, 1995 <sup>p</sup>	Apr. 1995 <sup>0</sup>	Apr. 1994	Feb. 1995	Mar. 1995 <sup>p</sup>	Apr. 1995 <sup>p</sup>			
Total private	\$11.07 11.05	\$11.35 11.31	\$11.35 11.32	\$11.40 11.39	\$381.92 383.44	\$388.17   390.20	\$358.17 390.54	\$391.02 394.09			
Mining	14.96	15.28	15.23	15.28	665.72	677.54	668.60	673.85			
Construction	14.49	14.80	14.80	14.84	554.97	548.12	563.88	557.98			
Manutacturing	12.01	12.25	12.26	12.31	504.42	510 <i>.</i> 83	511.24	496.09			
Durable goods	12.61	12.83	12.83	12.82	540.97	545.28	546.56	524.34			
Lumber and wood products	9.74	9.93	9.94	9.96	402.28	397.20	401.58	400.20			
Furniture and lixtures	9.46	9.67	9.68	9.77	379.35	383.90	382.36	369.31			
Stone, day, and glass products	12.02	12.22	12.23	12.47	521.67	510.80	519.78	528.23			
Primary metal industries	14.20	14.42	14.39	14.66	633.32	643.13	638.92	627.45			
Blast turnaces and basic steel products	16.65	17.10	17.04	17.34	744.26	769.50	759.98	759.49			
Fabricated metal products	11.90	12.03	12.05	12.03	508.13	513.68	512.13	483.61			
Industrial machinery and equipment	12.93	13.14	13.14	13.05	565.04	578.16	575.53	542.68			
Electronic and other electrical equipment	11.46	11.54	11.55	11,49	484,76	478.91	479.33	459.60			
Transportation equipment	16.43	16.72	16.68	16.50	731.14	742.37	743.93	701.25			
Motor vehicles and equipment	16.95	17.25	17.22	17.00	786.48	791.78	790.40	736.10			
Instruments and related products	12.42	12.63	12.65	12.73	515.43	524.15	527.51	511.75			
Miscellaneous manufacturing	9.59	9.93	9.87	9.95	385.52	395.21	393.81	385.07			
Nondurable goods	11.20	11.44	11.46	11.61	456.96	453.32	464.13	458.60			
Food and kindred products	10.64	10.84	10.88	10.96	430.92	440.10	441.73	435.11			
Tobacco products	19.28	19.26	20.03	20.13	759.63	741.51	763.14	760.91			
Textile mill products	9.09	9.32	9,31	9.44	380,87	383.98	383.57	374.77			
Apparel and other textile products	7.28	7.48	7.51	7.65	273.00	279.00	280.12	270.05			
Paper and allied products	13.66	14.01	14.02	14.30	598.31	606.63	604.26	600.60			
Printing and publishing	12.05	12.23	12.28	12.23	465.13	465.96	470.78	482.29			
Chemicals and allied products	15.08	15.46	15,50	15.68	649.95	667.87	671.15	677.38			
Petroleum and coal products	18.99	19.61	19.48	19.74	856.45	870.68	844.56	886.33			
Rubber and misc, plastics products	10.70	10.76	10.80	10.78	453.68	451.92	451.44	432.28			
Leather and leather products	7.95	B.12	8.12	B.33	306.87	307.75	308.56	306.54			
Transportation and public utilities	13.78	14.07	i4.09	14.18	549.82	552.95	552.33	562.95			
Wholesale trade	11,99	12.24	12.19	12.43	459.22	465.12	463.22	476.07			
Retail trade	7.47	7.63	7.63	7.68	214.39	214.40	215.93	221.37			
Finance, insurance, and real estate	11.81	12.19	12.21	12.30	421.62	435.18	433.46	447.72			
Services	11.01	11.39	11.37	11.42	358.72	387.90	367.25	371.15			

<sup>1</sup> See lootnote 1, table B-2.

<sup>p</sup> = preliminary.

Table 8-4. Average hourty earnings of production or nonsupervisory workers <sup>1</sup> on private nonfarm payrolis by Industry, seasonally adjusted

Industry	Apr. 1994	Dec. 1994	Jan. 1995	Føb. 1995	Mar. 1995 <sup>p</sup>	Арг. 1995 <sup>р</sup>	Percent change from: Mar. 1995- Apr. 1995
Total private:							
Current dollars	\$11.05	\$11.25	\$11.31	\$11.31	\$11.32	\$11.39	0.6
Constant (1982) dollars <sup>2</sup>	7.40	7.39	7.41	7.39	7.37	N.A.	(3)
Mining	14.87	15,10	15.07	15.14	15.14	15.14	.0
Construction	14.52	14.77	14.68	14.92	14.84	14.90	
Manufacturing	12.00	12.19	12.22	12.25	12.26	12.29	2
Excluding overtime <sup>4</sup>	11.33	11.52	11.55	11.60	11.62	11.75	11
Transportation and public utilities	13.77	14.04	14.08	14.00	14.09	14.18	
Wholesale trade	11.95	12.15	12.24	12.19	12.20	12.39	16
Retail trade	7.45	7.60	7.59	7.60	7.61	7.64	
Finance, insurance, and real estate	11.77	11.99	12 11	12.08	12.16	12.28	1 10
Services	10.99	11.22	11.31	11.29	11.30	11.41	1.0

.

<sup>1</sup> See footnote 1, table B-2. <sup>2</sup> The Consumer Price Index for Urban Wage Earners and Clerical Workers (CPI-W) is used to deflate this series. Change was -3 percent from February 1995 to

March 1995, the latest month available. <sup>4</sup> Derived by assuming that overtime hours are paid at the rate of time and one-half. N.A. = not available. P = pretiminary.

i

#### ESTABLISHMENT DATA

Table B-8, Indexes of aggregate weekly hours of production or nonsupervisory workers<sup>1</sup> on private nonterm payrolls by industry (1982-100)

	[	Not sees	onaliy adju	stad			Season	adjus	ted	
Industry	Apr. 1994	Feb. 1995	Mer. 1995P	Apr. 1905 <sup>0</sup>	Apr. 1994	Dec. 1994	Jan, 1995	Feb. 1995	Mar. 1995 <sup>0</sup>	Apr. 1995 <sup>p</sup>
Total private	128.6	127.3	128.5	130.0	128.2	131.0	132.3	131.4	131.7	131.8
Goods-producing industries	105.2	104.2	105.7	104.0	107.3	109.7	110.4	109.7	109.8	107.7
Mining	53.9	52.9	52.5	52.9	54.9	54.7	55.5	55.3	54.7	54.5
Construction	126.0	114.9	123.2	129.1	132.7	138.9	140.9	136.6	140.3	136.2
Manutacturing	104.2	105.4	105.6	102.1	105.4	107.2	107.6	107.6	105.9	105.2
Ourable goods	103.3	105.7	108.2	102.2	104.0	108.7	107.4	107.5	105.9	105.3
Lumber and wood products	128.8	127.0	127.9	126.5	131.3	134.3	135.1	132.3	131.0	129.8
Furniture and fixtures	122.8	123.9	122.8	117.0	123.5	126.1	127.6	127.9	124.2	119.8
Stone, clay, and glass products	108.4	101.1	104.8	106.6	107.3	109.1	110.4	108.9	109.9	108.2
Primary metal industries	87.7	82.2	91.9	. 88.8	68.5	92.8	92.4	92.9	92.1	69.3
Blast turnaces and basic steel products	69.8	72.2	71.6	70.5	70.8	73.1	73.2	73.1	72.5	70.8
Febricated metal products	108.5	111.9	111.9	105.7	107.8	112.2	113.7	113.9	113.2	110.2
Industrial machinery and equipment	96.8	101.3	101.8	96.7	96.9	99.3	100.7	101.2	100.7	99.6
Electronic and other electrical equipment	103.6	108.2	105.9	101.9	104.6	107.2	107.8	107.2	108.4	105.0
Transportation equipment	115.5	119.4	120.3	115.2	115.3	119.3	118.8	120.7	120.3	120.1
Motor vehicles and equipment	150.7	159.7	160.9	152.7	149.5	159.2	160.3	161.8	160.7	155.2
instruments and related products	75.0	73.3	73.6	71.6	75.2	74.2	74.0	73.8	73.5	73.1
MisceCaneous manufacturing	100.9	100.1	101.2	97.4	101.9	101.5	103.0	103.2	101.8	100.6
Nondurable goods	105.4	105.1	104.9	102.0	107.4	107.7	107.7	107.7	107.0	105.1
Food and kindred products	107.4	108.6	108.4	105.6	113.6	114.6	115.6	114.B	114.6	112.4
Tobacco products	56.0	56.5	49.8	47.9	62.0	60.5	55.P	57.5	53.5	54.1
Textile mill products	99.4	96.6	96.3	83.2	99.8	98.5	98.8	99.1	98.1	95.5
Apparel and other textile products	88.0	85.1	84.7	79.4	69.3	87.5	86.7	86.8	85.2	62.6
Paper and alled products	109.6	108.8	108.2	106.0	111.1	111.3	111.B	111.1	110.3	108.5
Printing and publishing	125.2	124.5	128.0	123.8	125.5	126.4	125.3	125.7	125.8	124.9
Chemicals and allied products	100.7	101.4	101.6	101.7	101.3	101.5	101.9	102.2	101.9	102.1
Petroleum and coal products	81.3	77.0	78.2	80.3	62.1	81.8	80.6	60.9	79.0	80.4
Rubber and misc, plastics products	137.9	142.6	141 <i>.</i> B	135.6	138.3	143.1	143.8	144.5	143.1	138.6
Leather and leather products	54.4	51.2	51.5	49,4	55.7	53.1	52.3	52.5	52.5	51.0
Service-producing industries	138.2	137.7	138.7	141.6	137.6	140.5	142.1	141,1	141.5	142.8
Transportation and public utlities	116.4	117.6	117.8	119.7	118.4	119.6	120.8	120.3	120.4	121.7
Wholesale trade	113.6	115.3	115.8	117.3	114.5	116.1	117.5	117.2	117.4	118.0
Retail trade	123.3	123.1	124,2	128.2	128.4	129.5	130.3	129.3	129.6	, 130.3
Finance, insurance, and real estate	121.5	120.5	120.3	123.8	122.0	121.3	123.7	121.1	121.2	124.5
Services	162.2	165.7	167.1	169.9	162.5	167.2	169.4	168.4	169.0	170.0

<sup>1</sup> See tootnote 1, table B-2.

P - pretiminary.

.

÷

-

Table 8-6. Diffusion indexas of employment change, sessonally adjusted

(Percent)

				r		_	_		r	_			
	Time span	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	May	June	Juty	Aug.	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.
	•					Private no	ntarm pay	rolis, 356	industries	1			
	Over 1-month spen: 1991 1992 1993 1994 1995	39.6 42.1 57.9 56.6 61.0	39.6 46.1 61.7 58.3 58.4	38.5 48.3 49.0 62.9 P57.0	38.2 57,7 56.0 62.5 P48.7	48.5 53.1 57.0 56.3	45.4 50.4 51.1 63.2	48.3 52.8 58.8 59.3	52.0 48.5 50.0 59.8	48.9 53.4 56.7 56.9	48.8 56.9 57.4 59.8	48.5 52.5 61.0 64.6	46,1 57,3 57,4 61,7
	Over 3-month span: 1991 1992 1993 1994 1995	34.3 39.7 64.0 62.1 66.0	32.0 42.3 61.4 64.5 P65.6	31.6 51.0 59.7 65.2 <sup>p</sup> 58.1	38.2 56.2 55.8 65.0	39.3 57.6 54.9 65.4	44.2 54.1 57.7 64.8	49.4 50.4 54.6 66.7	50.7 49.9 55.9 64.0	50.8 51.7 55.8 65.4	44.9 56.2 62.4 65.3	43.7 58.6 61.5 70.1	40.9 59,8 60,8 68,4
	Over 6-month span: 1991 1992 1993 1994 1995	30.2 43.5 61.4 67.0 P68.0	32.4 46.3 60.8 65.9	31.2 47.2 59.0 68.8	33.7 52.0 59.8 66.0	39.2 54.2 54.4 67.8	44.7 56.6 54.5 66.3	46.5 52.8 57.9 68.1	45.8 53.1 58.8 70.1	47.8 55.8 59.7 68.1	44.5 56.3 60.8 69.4	41.4 64.2 62.8 67.0	39,9 62,2 63,6 P69,5
	Over 12-month spen: 1991 1992 1993 1994 1995	31.0 47.2 60.0 64.2	31.0 42.3 61.1 65.7	31.7 42.7 60.7 66.0	31.9 44.1 62.2 66.4	31.7 48.0 63.2 68.1	33.8 52.5 62.1 69.0	35.8 55.8 62.4 69.5	37.5 60.7 60.8 71.1	40.0 59.7 63.5 P70.5	45.2 60.4 62.8 P70.6	45.6 60.1 63.1	45.4 60.7 63.5
		·				Manufact	uring payn	olis, 139 ir	ndustries <sup>1</sup>				
;	Over 1-month span: 1991 1992 1993 1994 1994	32.7 38.1 52.5 54.3 58.3	35.6 40.6 57.6 53.6 51.4	31.3 45.0 47.8 51.1 P47.1	37.4 57.9 41.7 58.1 P44.2	45.7 47.8 46.0 50.0	43.5 50.0 40.3 58.6	46.4 53.2 49.3 52.9	49.3 41.7 42.8 56.8	42.8 49.3 46.8 48.9	47.8 47.8 50.0 60.8	41.4 52.5 55.4 60.1	39.6 51.8 51.1 60.8
	Over 3-month span: 1991 1992 1993 1994 1995	24.5 30.9 60.1 56.1 61.5	21.9 36.3 58.3 57.6 P53.6	20.5 45.3 51.4 56.5 P45.3	32.7 50.7 40.6 53.2	36.3 55.4 37.1 57.2	39.6 53.6 43.5 55.8	47.1 47.1 40.3 61.5	46.0 47.1 41.0 55.0	48.2 42.4 43.2 60.4	39.9 50.0 52.9 60.1	38.7 51.1 54.7 69.1	33.5 55.0 56.1 65.5
	Over 6-month spen: 1991 1992 1993 1994 1995	15.8 34.2 54.0 58.3 P59.0	20.9 37.1 51.8 58.1	21.2 41.0 48.6 59.4	26.3 48.6 47.1 54.3	34.9 52.2 37.1 58.3	39.2 54.7 34.2 56.8	42.1 46.4 39.6 60.1	40.3 49.3 45.7 62.6	40.3 50.4 47.8 62.2	37.1 48.9 50.4 66.5	32.4 57.9 54.3 62.2	32.7 56.8 55.8 963.7
	Over 12-month span: 1991 1992 1993 1994 1995	16.5 42.4 50.0 50.7	16.2 36.7 52.5 54.3	17.3 36.3 48.6 54.0	18.0 36.0 49.3 56.8	20.9 39.6 50.7 59.0	24.1 45.7 48.9 60.4	26.3 50.0 50.0 62.2	30.6 55.8 48.9 62.9	32.7 57.9 50.0 P61.2	38.1 55.4 50.7 P59.4	38.8 52.9 51.4	37.4 52.9 51.4

NOTE: Figures are the percent of industries with employment increasing plus one-hell of the industries with unchanged employment, where 50 percent indicates an equal belance between industries with increasing and decreasing employment.

 $^1$  Based on seasonally adjusted data for 1-, 3-, and 8-month spans and unadjusted data for the 12-month span. Data are centered within the span,  $^p$  = preliminary,

36

#### ESTABLISHMENT DATA

•••



Figure 1: Real Hourly Earnings, CPI-U-X1 Adjusted Dollars (1993=100)

÷